RESEARCH

Open Access



Development and application of a fast and efficient CRISPR-based genetic toolkit in *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02

Qinglong Xin, Yudan Chen, Qianlin Chen, Bin Wang^{*} and Li Pan^{*}

Abstract

Background: *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* is generally recognized as food safe (GRAS) microbial host and important enzyme-producing strain in the industry. *B.amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02 is a production strain suitable for secreting mesophilic a-amylase in the industry. Nevertheless, due to the low transformation efficiency and restriction-modification system, the development of its CRISPR tool lags far behind other species and strains from the genus *Bacillus*. This work was undertaken to develop a fast and efficient gene-editing tool in *B.amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02.

Results: In this study, we fused the nuclease-deficient mutant Cas9n (D10A) of Cas9 with activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID) and developed a fast and efficient base editing system for the first time in *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02. The system was verified by inactivating the *pyrF* gene coding orotidine 5'-phosphate decarboxylase and the mutant could grow normally on M9 medium supplemented with 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) and uridine (U). Our base editing system has a 6nt editing window consisting of an all-in-one temperature-sensitive plasmid that facilitates multiple rounds of genome engineering in *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02. The total editing efficiency of this method reached 100% and it achieved simultaneous editing of three loci with an efficiency of 53.3%. In addition, based on the base editing CRISPR/Cas9n-AID system, we also developed a single plasmid CRISPR/Cas9n system suitable for rapid gene knockout and integration. The knockout efficiency for a single gene reached 93%. Finally, we generated 4 genes (*aprE, nprE, wprA*, and *bamHIR*) mutant strain, LB1ba02 Δ 4. The mutant strain secreted 1.25-fold more α -amylase into the medium than the wild-type strain.

Conclusions: The CRISPR/Cas9n-AID and CRISPR/Cas9n systems developed in this work proved to be a fast and efficient genetic manipulation tool in a restriction-modification system and poorly transformable strain.

Keywords: B. amyloliquefaciens, CRISPR/Cas9n-AID, Base editing, a-amylase

Background

Bacillus amyloliquefaciens is generally recognized as food safe (GRAS) microbial host and an important industrial strain in the production of α -amylase and proteases [1]. Besides, it can secrete antibacterial substances to inhibit the activity of fungi and bacteria [2–5]. As a closely

*Correspondence: btbinwang@scut.edu.cn; btlipan@scut.edu.cn

related species of *Bacillus subtilis*, *B. amyloliquefaciens* can be used to produce various enzyme preparations [1, 6–8]. *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02 is an important production strain suitable for secreting mesophilic α -amylase in the industry. However, the extracellular proteolytic enzyme secreted by undomesticated strains hydrolyzes the protein of interest to varying degrees. Moreover, the restriction-modification system existed in *B. amyloliquefaciens* leads to the degradation of the exogenous DNA to be transformed [9]. The low transformation efficiency



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.gn/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.gn/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain and redit line to the data.

School of Biology and Biological Engineering, Guangzhou Higher Education Mega Centre, South China University of Technology, Panyu District, Guangzhou 510006, Guangdong, People's Republic of China

and the restriction-modification system hinder the development of its gene-editing tool.

The traditional non-CRISPR gene-editing method of B. amyloliquefaciens is through a two-step homologous recombination procedure, which uses a thermosensitive rolling-circle replication plasmid [10]. However, this method has a low probability of double-crossover when performing the second recombinational event. This makes researchers need to consume a lot of time and energy to carry out screening work. To increase the probability of the second recombination event, Zhang et al. [11] used the *upp* gene as a counterselectable marker and added 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) as a growth pressure to select for double-crossover recombinants [11]. Under the growth pressure of 5-FU, the probability of the second homologous replacement was greatly improved. Nevertheless, the entire process of two-step homologous recombination, including gene editing and plasmid curing, still takes too long. In addition, it cannot achieve multiple gene knockouts simultaneously.

With the development of genome editing technology, the discovery of the CRISPR system has been endowed with epoch-making significance [12, 13]. Compared with traditional homologous recombination editing, the CRISPR/Cas9 system is more efficient and faster. Furthermore, it can disrupt multiple genes simultaneously, reducing the workload of gene editing significantly [13, 14]. The CRISPR/Cas9 system has been implemented in Escherichia coli, Bacillus licheniformis, and B. subtilis, etc. [15-18]. The CRISPR-based genetic tools developed by other species and strains from the genus Bacillus can be adapted to *B. amyloliquefaciens* theoretically; however, it needs to be further verified through experiments due to the distinctions between different species. Qiu et al. [19] reported for the first time that a dual plasmid CRISPR/Cas9n system could achieve the disruption of the *B. amyloliquefaciens* NB gene by integrating the Cas9n protein and sgRNA into two different vectors [19]. Zhao et al. [20] further fused the nuclease-deficient mutant dCas9 of Cas9 with the ω subunit of RNA polymerase achieved gene transcription regulation in B. amyloliquefaciens 205 [20]. To date, the CRISPR/Cas9 system is the only CRISPR-based genetic tool reported in *B. amyloliquefaciens*. When performing gene editing by CRISPR/Cas9, donor DNA needs to be introduced to prevent Cas9 from causing genomic double-strand breaks (DSBs) and bacterial death, unfavorable for the simultaneous knockout of multiple genes, especially in B. amyloliquefaciens [21, 22]. Nishida et al. [23] developed the Cas9n-AID base editor by fusing AID from sea lamprey with Cas9n [23]. The Cas9n-AID base editor performs direct conversion of specific Cs into Ts in a programmable manner that does not require DNA DSBs or the introduction of donor DNA templates and is, therefore, more suitable for simultaneous editing of multiple genes [24, 25].

At present, the Cas9n(dCad9)-AID base editor has been successfully developed and applied in different prokaryotes with high efficiency, such as *Escherichia coli*, *Corynebacterium glutamicum*, *Streptomyces* and *Agrobacterium* [25–28]. Wang et al. [29] showed that Target-AID base editing could not only achieve C-T conversion, but had a certain probability to achieve C-A or C-G conversion in bacteria [29]. In addition, Shelake et al. [30] recently developed different variants of CBEs (PmCDA1, evoCDA1, APOBEC3A) and ABEs (ABE8e, ABE9e) and successfully applied them to bacteria [30]. Whereas different base editing tools have been used in prokaryotes as well, it has not been developed in the *B. amyloliquefaciens* yet.

In this study, we adapted the CRISPR/Cas9n-AID and implemented the base editing system in *B. amylolique*faciens LB1ba02. The CRISPR/Cas9n-AID base editing system has a 6nt editing window and the total editing efficiency reached 100%. In addition, this method achieved simultaneous editing of three loci with an efficiency of 53.3%. Based on the CRISPR/Cas9n-AID system, we also developed a single plasmid CRISPR/Cas9n system suitable for rapid gene knockout and integration. Finally, we obtained a mutant B. amyloliquefa*ciens* strain, LB1ba02 \triangle 4, with the deletion of 4 genes (aprE, nprE, wprA, and bamHIR). LB1ba02 $\triangle 4$ strain secreted 1.25-fold more α -amylase into the medium than the wild strain. The single plasmid CRISPR/Cas9n-AID and CRISPR/Cas9n systems developed in this work expand the range of the genetic manipulation of *B*. amyloliquefaciens.

Results

CRISPR/Cas9n mediated cytosine deaminase base editing in *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02

To test whether CRISPR/Cas9n-AID base editing system can be used in *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02, we selected the *pyrF* gene encoding orotidine 5'-phosphate decarboxylase as a target. The mutant strain with the inactive *pyrF* gene is auxotrophic for U [31–34]. The Cas9n (D10A) protein with AID ortholog (PmCDA1 from sea lamprey) was fused and expressed under the control of the IPTGinducible promoter P_{grac} . P_{43} is a constitutive strong promoter responsible for transcription of the cytidine deaminase gene from *B. subtilis*, which can continuously express genes in logarithmic growth phase and stationary phase. Therefore, it was designed for the transcription of sgRNA. The base editing plasmid pWSCas9n-AIDsgRNA-*pyrF* was constructed as shown in Fig. 1a. The plasmid was transformed into *B. amyloliquefaciens*



LB1ba02, spread on kanamycin containing 50 µg/mL LB plate and cultured at 30 °C for 12-16 h. It is worth mentioning that due to the large single temperature-sensitive plasmid and the toxicity of Cas9n protein to bacteria, this will result in low transformation efficiency of pWSCas9nsgRNA plasmid (1-10 transformants/µg normally). The correct single transformant containing the plasmid pWS-Cas9n-AID-sgRNA-pyrF was induced in a liquid medium with 50 µg/mL kanamycin and 100 µM IPTG at 30 °C, 220 rpm for 24 h. Then the cell suspensions were diluted to 10^{-3} and spread on an M9 plate containing 5-FOA and U (Fig. 1b). 15 single colonies on the plate were selected randomly and target gene was amplified by the VF-(*pyrF*)-F/R primers; further, verified by DNA sequencing. The results showed that the pyrF genes in the selected single colonies were edited; thus, the efficiency reached 100% under the selection pressure of 5-FOA. The pyrF

gene deletion strain and the wild strain were inoculated into the minimal medium M9 supplemented with 5-FOA and U. The mutant strain grew normally; however, 5-FOA was lethal to the wild strain (Fig. 1c). This result indicates that the CRISPR/Cas9n-AID system can successfully perform base editing in *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02.

Base editing window of the CRISPR/Cas9n-AID system in *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02

The CRISPR/Cas9n-AID base editing system has been reported to target -16 to -20 positions upstream the PAM sequence [23, 25]. However, we found that this system has a 6 nt editing window (-15 to -20 positions upstream the PAM sequence) in *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02. We designed 6 different sgRNAs to test the editing efficiency of each position (Additional file 1: Fig. S8). The results showed that the -18 position had the

highest editing efficiency of 100% and the -17 position had the editing efficiency of 80%. The editing efficiency of other loci was gradually decreasing. The editing efficiency of -19 and -16 positions were 53.3% and 33.3%, respectively. However, the -20 and -15 positions were only 20% and 13.3%, respectively (Fig. 2).

With the deepening of the research, we found that the editing efficiency of each position was affected by neighboring cytosines. The targeted sgRNA located in the *abnA* gene (arabinan-endo 1, 5-alpha-L-arabinase) containing five consecutive cytosines (5Cs) from positions -16 to -20 upstream of the PAM was selected to investigate the discrepancy (Additional file 1: Fig. S9). The editing efficiency of the 5Cs window was subsequently tested. The editing efficiencies of the -17 and - 18 positions were 46.6% and 60%, respectively. The editing efficiency of -19 and -20 positions were only 13.3% and 6.6%, respectively. The -16 position had the lowest efficiency, only 2.2% (Fig. 2). The results showed that the overall editing efficiency of each locus declined due to the influence of adjacent cytosines. Nevertheless, the -17 and -18 positions maintained the highest editing efficiency.

CRISPR/Cas9n-AID mediated multi-gene editing

To verify whether the CRISPR/Cas9n-AID base editing system can achieve multi-gene editing in *B. amylolique-faciens* LB1ba02, we selected three extracellular protease genes *aprE*, *nprE*, and *mpr* for testing. Three P_{43} -sgRNAs were connected in series and inserted into



the pWSCas9n-AID plasmid to obtain the edited plasmid pWSCas9n-AID-sgRNA-aprE-nprE-mpr (Fig. 3a). The resulted plasmid was transformed into the wild strain LB1ba02, spread on kanamycin containing 50 µg/mL LB plate and cultured at 30 °C for 12-16 h. The correct single transformant containing the plasmid pWSCas9n-AIDsgRNA-aprE-nprE-mpr was induced in a liquid medium with 50 µg/mL kanamycin and 100 µM IPTG at 30 °C, 220 rpm for 24 h. Then the cell suspensions were diluted to 10^{-5} and spread on the LB plate at 37 °C for 12 h. 15 single colonies on the plate were selected randomly and target genes were amplified by the VF-(aprE)-F/R, VF-(nprE)-F/R, and VF-(mpr)-F/R primers. DNA sequencing was performed to determine the editing efficiency of the aprE, nprE, and mpr genes. As shown in Fig. 3b, c, aprE, nprE, and mpr sites were simultaneous edited with an efficiency of 53.3%. The editing efficiency of aprE, nprE and mpr sites were 53.3%, 93.3% and 86.7%, respectively. In addition, the conversion of stop codons at the aprE and nprE sites was achieved so that these two genes were knocked out, and the resulting strain was named LB1ba02<u></u>∠2.

The single plasmid CRISPR/Cas9n system

In the backbone plasmid pWSCas9n constructed in this work, rapid gene knockout and integration can be achieved when the P43-sgRNA expression cassette and homologous repair template are inserted. The wprA gene cannot be knocked out by traditional homologous recombination [35]; thus, it was selected to demonstrate the CRISPR/Cas9n system's editing efficiency. The knockout plasmid pWSCas9n-sgRNA-wprA was transformed into LB1ba02 \triangle 2, spread on kanamycin containing 50 µg/mL LB plate and cultured at 30 °C for 12-16 h. The correct single transformant containing the plasmid pWSCas9nsgRNA-wprA was induced in a liquid medium with 50 µg/mL kanamycin and 100 µM IPTG at 30 °C, 220 rpm for 24 h. Then the cell suspensions were diluted to 10^{-5} and spread on the LB plate at 37 °C for 12 h. 15 single colonies on the plate were subsequently selected randomly and target gene was amplified by the VF-(wprA)-F/R primers located in the genome outside of the homologous recombination flanks (Fig. 4a); further, verified by DNA sequencing. As shown in Fig. 4b, c, the wprA gene was deleted successfully, resulting in the LB1ba02 $\triangle 3$ strain, and 93% editing efficiency was reached after IPTG induction (Additional file 1: Figure S2). To reduce the pretreatment step of *Bam*HI methylase before exogenous plasmid transformation, we further disrupted the restriction endonuclease gene *bamHIR* (Fig. 4b-d) and obtained LB1ba02 $\triangle 4$ strain. The transformation and editing process of knockout plasmid pWSCas9n-sgRNAbamHIR refers to pWSCas9n-sgRNA-wprA. After



knocking out the *bamHIR* gene, the exogenous DNA plasmid with the *Bam*HI restriction site could be transformed into LB1ba02 Δ 4 without methylation treatment (Additional file 1: Figure S3).

Gene integration by the single plasmid CRISPR/Cas9n system

Although the base-editing system can achieve C – T base conversion, it cannot achieve gene integration. Contrary, the CRISPR/Cas9n system can achieve gene integration [36, 37]. To determine whether our system can achieve gene integration efficiently, we selected the *bamHIR* site for testing. As shown in Fig. 5a, a fluorescent protein integration plasmid pWSCas9n-sgRNA-*bamHIR*(GFP) was constructed and transformed into *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02. Then spread on kanamycin containing 50 µg/mL LB plate and cultured at 30 °C for 12–16 h. The correct single transformant containing the plasmid pWS-Cas9n-sgRNA-*bamHIR*(GFP) was induced in a liquid medium with 50 µg/mL kanamycin and 100 µM IPTG at 30 °C, 220 rpm for 24 h. Then the cell suspensions were diluted to 10⁻⁵ and spread on the LB plate at 37 °C for 12 h. Subsequently, 10 single colonies on the plate were selected randomly and target gene was amplified by the VF-(*bamHIR*)-F/R primers located in the genome outside of the homologous recombination flanks; further, verified by DNA sequencing. The results showed that only 2 of the 10 transformants picked at random were positive, and the gene integration efficiency was 20% (Fig. 5b). The P_{veg} -GFP-integrated strain LB1ba02 $\Delta bamHIR$ (GFP) was cultured in a 24-well plate and the fluorescence intensity was measured after 24 h. The wild-type strain LB1ba02 was used as a control. As shown in Fig. 5c, the fluorescence intensity of LB1ba02 $\Delta bamHIR$ (GFP) reached 3350, while no GFP expression was observed in the LB1ba02 strain.

Production of α -amylase (AmyX) using *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02 Δ 4

Through the CRISPR/Cas9n-AID and CRISPR/Cas9n systems developed in this work, we successively knocked out three extracellular protease genes (*aprE*, *nprE*, and *wprA*) and one restriction endonuclease gene (*bamHIR*) in *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02, resulting in





strain LB1ba02 \triangle 4. To evaluate LB1ba02 \triangle 4 mutation features, cell growth and AmyX secretion were investigated. As shown in Fig. 6a, there were no distinct differences in cell growth (OD₆₀₀) between LB1ba02 \triangle 4 and LB1ba02, indicating that *aprE*, *nprE*, *wprA*, and *bamHIR* deletion did not influence the biomass. After disruption of the extracellular proteases AprE, NprE, and WprA, the ability of LB1ba02 \triangle 4 to secrete AmyX was improved. Compared with LB1ba02, the mutant LB1ba02 \triangle 4 secreted 1.25-fold more AmyX into the medium and the highest enzyme activity reached 3072.54 U/mL in the shake flask fermentation (Fig. 6b). Therefore, LB1ba02 \triangle 4 can be used as a more efficient host to express the protein of interest.

Plasmid curing

The Rep pE194^{ts} of the pWSCas9n backbone plasmid is a *Bacillus* temperature-sensitive replicon, which replicates normally at a culture temperature of 30 °C. The plasmid will suicide and cannot replicate when the culture temperature exceeds 37 °C. To confirm the curing efficiency of the temperature-sensitive plasmid pWS-Cas9n-sgRNA-*bamHIR*, we cultured the recombinant strains at 42 °C for 12 h in a liquid medium. The cell suspensions were diluted to 10^{-5} and spread on the LB plate at 37 °C for 12 h. Then 45 colonies were simultaneously spotted to the LB plate containing kanamycin and the non-resistant LB plate at 37 °C for 12 h to observe the plasmid curing efficiency. As shown in



Fig. 5 Gene integration by the CRISPR/Cas9n system. **a** Physical map of integration plasmid pWSCas9n-sgRNA-*bamHIR*(GFP). **b** The agarose gel electrophoresis verification of P_{veg} -GFP integration by the single plasmid CRISPR/Cas9n system. **c** Fluorescence intensity measurement of LB1ba02 and LB1ba02 *bamHIR*(GFP).



Additional file 1: Figure S4, among the 45 screened colonies, 10 colonies did not grow on the kanamycin LB plate, indicating that 22.2% of the plasmid pWSCas9n-sgRNA-*bamHIR* had been removed.

Discussion

B. amyloliquefaciens is generally recognized as food safe (GRAS) microorganism in industry and possesses a powerful ability to secrete extracellular proteins. However, its low transformation efficiency and the restriction-modification system hinder the development of its CRISPR tool. Compared with traditional homologous recombination,

CRISPR/Cas9 system gene editing requires shorter time and higher efficiency, and has been widely used in various animals, plants and microorganisms [38–40]. The CRISPR/Cas9 system in *B. amyloliquefaciens* was not reported for the first time until 2020 [19]. However, DSBs in the genome caused by Cas9 are often fatal to bacteria. Therefore, the donor DNA needs to be inserted to repair the DSBs when genetic manipulation is performed through the CRISPR/Cas9 system [21, 41, 42]. Co-transformation can reduce the difficulty of plasmid construction, and it has realized co-transformation of Cas9 plasmid and linear repair fragment donor DNA in *B. subtilis* [43]. Nevertheless, in our actual research process, we found that co-transformation of the pWSCas9n plasmid and the donor DNA fragment did not achieve gene knockout in *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02 (data not shown). This makes it necessary to insert multiple donor DNAs into the plasmid when the CRISPR/Cas9 system performs simultaneous multi-gene knockout, which will increase the difficulty of constructing recombinant plasmids.

Base editing is a relatively new method of genome editing and a point mutation essentially. Furthermore, it is theoretically possible for the mutations generated by this method to be reverted. To avoid the reverse mutation, Cas9n protein is usually expressed and fused with uracil DNA glycosylase inhibitor (UGI) in microorganism. Of course, with the continuous replication of genome, there is still a very low probability of reverting the mutation under natural continuous subculture conditions after the base has been successfully edited. Compared with CRISPR/Cas9, the single-base editor performs direct conversion of target bases in a programmable manner, without the need for DNA DSBs or the introduction of exogenous templates, and is more suitable for simultaneous editing of multiple genes, especially in B. amyloliquefaciens [24, 25]. In this work, we fused AID with Cas9n and implemented the base editing system for the first time in B. amyloliquefaciens LB1ba02. In addition, the *pyrF* gene coding orotidine 5'-phosphate decarboxylase as a food-grade auxotrophic reverse screening marker was applied to B. amyloliquefaciens LB1ba02, and the editing efficiency reached 100%. Besides, it achieved simultaneous editing of three loci (aprE, nprE, and mpr) with an efficiency of 53.3%. This shows, the single-base editing system can work well in B. amyloliquefaciens LB1ba02.

Although the base-editing system can achieve C-T base conversion, it cannot achieve gene integration. Contrary, the CRISPR/Cas9n system can achieve gene integration [36, 37]. The single plasmid CRISPR/Cas9n system developed in this work could perform rapid and efficient gene deletion in B. amyloliquefaciens LB1ba02. In addition, we achieved the genomic integration of the green fluorescent protein GFP through the CRISPR/Cas9n system. However, the integration efficiency is only 20%, which still needs to be improved. It is worth mentioning that due to the large single temperature-sensitive plasmid and the toxicity of Cas9n protein to bacteria, this will result in low transformation efficiency of pWSCas9nsgRNA plasmid (1–10 transformants/ μ g normally). Thus, the correct single transformant needs to be induced in a liquid medium with 50 $\mu g/mL$ kanamycin and 100 μM IPTG. On the other hand, the extracellular proteolytic enzyme secreted by undomesticated B. amyloliquefaciens hydrolyzes the protein of interest to varying degrees [35]. To reduce the degradation of α -amylase by extracellular proteases secreted by undomesticated *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02, we deleted the genes of *aprE*, *nprE*, and *wprA*. The extracellular secretion capacity of the knockout strain for α -amylase was significantly better than that of the wild strain.

Conclusion

In this work, we adapted a fast and efficient CRISPR/ Cas9n-AID base editing system and developed a single plasmid CRISPR/Cas9n genome editing system in *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02. The CRISPR/Cas9n-AID single-base editing system can achieve multiple site editing simultaneously, and the CRISPR/Cas9n system can achieve rapid gene knockout and integration. In addition, we modified the wild strain LB1ba02 through these technologies and obtained a mutant strain LB1ba02 Δ 4 with stronger amylase secretion. Compared with LB1ba02, the mutant LB1ba02 Δ 4 secreted 1.25-fold more AmyX into the medium. The single plasmid CRISPR/Cas9n-AID and CRISPR/Cas9n systems developed in this work expand the range of the genetic manipulation of *B. amyloliquefaciens*.

Materials and methods

Strains and plasmids

All bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are described in Table 1. *E.coli* Mach1-T1 was used for plasmid construction. The *dam-* and *dcm-* deficient *E. coli* strain JM110 was used for plasmid demethylation. The undomesticated *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02 was used for genetic manipulation and secretion of mesophilic α -amylase. Plasmids pwtCas9-bacteria and pgRNA-bacteria were purchased from Huayueyang Biotech (Beijing, China) Co., Ltd.

Reagents and enzymes

Primer STAR Max DNA Polymerase was purchased from TaKaRa Biotech (Dalian, China) Co., Ltd. Green TaqTM Mix was purchased from Vazyme Biotech (Nanjing, China) Co., Ltd. Restriction enzymes, NEBuilder[®] HiFi DNA Assembly Cloning Kit, and *Bam*HI DNA methyltransferase was purchased NEB (Beijing, China) Co., Ltd. HiPure Plasmid Micro Kit, HiPure DNA Pure Micro Kits, and HiPure Gel Pure DNA Mini Kit were purchased from Magen Bio (Shanghai, China) Co., Ltd. DNA-Sanger sequencing and DNA primer synthesis were performed by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China) Co., Ltd. Other chemicals were purchased from Aladdin Biochemical Technology (Shanghai, China) Co., Ltd.

Table 1 Strains and plasmids used in this study

Strains and plasmids	Characteristics	Reference
Strains		
E.coli Mach1-T1	F- φ80(lacZ)ΔM15 ΔlacX74 hsdR(rK- mK+) ΔrecA1398 endA1 tonA	Huayueyang Bio
E.coli JM110	The dam- and dcm- deficient strain	Huayueyang Bio
B.amyloliquefaciens LB1ba02	Wild type	Preserved in our lab
B.amyloliquefaciens LB1ba02∆pyrF	B.amyloliquefaciens LB1ba02 derivative, knock out the gene of pyrF	This work
B.amyloliquefaciens LB1ba02 \DbamHIR(GFP)	B.amyloliquefaciens LB1 ba02 derivative, knock in the fluorescent protein GFP	This work
B.amyloliquefaciens LB1ba02∆2	B.amyloliquefaciens LB1 ba02 derivative, knock out the genes of aprE, and nprE	This work
B.amyloliquefaciens LB1ba02 Δ 3	B.amyloliquefaciens LB1ba02 derivative, knock out the genes of aprE, nprE, and wprA	This work
B.amyloliquefaciens LB1ba02 Δ 4	B.amyloliquefaciens LB1 ba02 derivative, knock out the genes of aprE, nprE, wprA, and bamHIR	This work
Plasmids		
pwtCas9-bacteria	Amp, tetracycline repressor TetR, cas9 gene	Huayueyang Bio
pgRNA-bacteria	Amp, sgRNA plasmid, containing a chimera RNA 3'UTR, SptracrRNA terminator	Huayueyang Bio
pWSCas9n	Temperature-sensitive shuttle Cas9n expression plasmid, Kan (E.coli and B.amyloliquefaciens)	This work
pWSCas9n-AID	Cas9n fusion AID expression plasmid, Kan (E.coli and B.amyloliquefaciens)	This work
pWSCas9n-sgRNA-wprA The CRISPR/Cas9n knockout plasmid, Cas9n, pE194ts, sgRNA, and wprA		This work
pWSCas9n-sgRNA-bamHIR	The CRISPR/Cas9n knockout plasmid, Cas9n, pE194ts, sgRNA, and donorDNA of bamHIR	This work
pWSCas9n-sgRNA-bamHIR(GFP)	The CRISPR/Cas9n fluorescent protein GFP integration plasmid	This work
pWSCas9n-AID-sgRNA-pyrF	CRISPR/Cas9n-AID Single base editing plasmid (pyrF)	This work
pWSCas9n-AID-sgRNA-abnA	CRISPR/Cas9n-AID Single base editing plasmid (abnA)	This work
pWSCas9n-AID-sgRNA-aprE-nprE-mpr	CRISPR/Cas9n-AID Single base editing plasmid (aprE-nprE-mpr)	This work

Media and culture conditions

Conventional plasmids construction, transformants identification, and seeds culture were performed in LB medium (10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, and 10 g/L NaCl) at 37 °C, 220 rpm, adding antibiotics of different concentrations (ampicillin 100 µg/mL, kanamycin 50 µg/mL) as required. M9 medium: 1 g/L NH₄Cl, 3 g/L KH₂PO₄, and 6 g/L Na₂HPO₄), 2 mM MgSO₄, 0.4% (w/v) glucose, 0.0005% (w/v) thiamine, and 2% (w/v) agar for solidification. When required, the M9 medium was supplemented with 2 mM uracil and 1 mg/mL 5-FOA [44]. A single colony of B. amyloliquefaciens from the plate was seeded in LB liquid media (50 mL medium/250 mL Erlenmeyer flask) and incubated at 37 °C for 12 h at 220 rpm. The preculture was then inoculated into fermentation medium (100 mL fermentation medium/500 mL baffled flasks) with 2% (v/v) inoculation amount, 37 °C, 220 rpm. The fermentation medium contained 6.5% corn flour, 4% soybean cake powder, 0.4% disodium hydrogen phosphate, 0.03% potassium dihydrogen phosphate, 0.05% hightemperature amylase, pH 7.3-7.5. The supernatant of the fermentation broth was centrifuged to measure the α-amylase activity.

Cell growth curve determination

The tested strains were cultured in LB liquid medium overnight, then inoculated into LB liquid media (50 mL medium/250 mL Erlenmeyer flask) with 2% (v/v) inoculation amount and incubated at 37 °C, 220 rpm. The optical density at 600 nm (OD600) of the bacteria was determined using a spectrophotometer (Eppendorf BioPhotometer) every 1 h. Three parallel tests were performed for each sample.

Construction of the Cas9n protein inducible expression plasmid pWSCas9n

The Cas9n (D10A) protein DNA was derived from the plasmid pwtCas9-bacteria and amplified by the Cas9n-F/ Cas9n-R primers. The P_{grac} promoter is an IPTG inducible promoter, derived from the *B. subtilis* expression plasmid pHT01 and amplified by the primers P_{grac} -F/ P_{grac} -R. The sequence containing the *E. coli* replication origin (Ori), *Bacillus* temperature-sensitive replication origin (Rep pE194^{ts}), and kanamycin resistance (Kan) were amplified by primers ori-F/rep-R. These three fragments were assembled by the NEBuilder[®] HiFi DNA Assembly Cloning Kit (In-Fusion cloning) and named pWSCas9n, as shown in Additional file 1: Figure S6. To facilitate the subsequent insertion of sgRNA and donor DNA sequences, we introduced the restriction sites of *XbaI*, *SalI*, and *SmaI* into pWSCas9n (The linkers at both ends of the plasmid pWSCas9n were added with homologous sequences containing the restriction sites of *XbaI*, *SalI*, and *SmaI* when circularized by In-Fusion cloning). All primers used in this study are listed in Additional file 1: Table S1.

Insertion of the sgRNA and donor DNA sequences for CRISPR/Cas9n

The homologous repair template upstream 400 bp and downstream 400 bp were amplified by primers up (wprA)-F/R and down (wprA)-F/R. The P₄₃ (no RBS) promoter and sgRNA fragment were amplified by primers P43-F/P43-wprA-R and sgRNA-wprA-F/sgRNA-R. The 20 bp sgRNA sequence was added to the 5'end of primers P₄₃-*wprA*-R and sgRNA-*wprA*-F, and inserted by overlapping PCR. These four DNA fragments were overlapped each other and inserted into the SmaI site of the backbone plasmid pWSCas9n by In-Fusion cloning to obtain an all-in-one knockout plasmid pWSCas9-sgRNAwprA, as shown in Additional file 1: Figure S1. To obtain the knockout plasmid pWSCas9-sgRNA-bamHIR, the homologous repair template upstream 400 bp and downstream 400 bp were amplified by primers up (bamHIR)-F/R and down (bamHIR)-F/R. The P₄₃ (no RBS) promoter and sgRNA fragment were amplified by primers $\mathrm{P}_{43}\text{-}\mathrm{F}/$ P43-bamHIR-R and sgRNA-bamHIR-F/sgRNA-R. Similarly, these four fragments overlapped each other and were inserted into the SmaI site of the backbone plasmid pWSCas9n by In-Fusion cloning. To construct the integration plasmid pWSCas9-sgRNA-bamHIR(GFP), the $P_{\nu e \sigma}$ -GFP expression cassette was amplified by primers $P_{\nu eg}$ -F/R and GFP-F/R, overlapped together and inserted into the plasmid pWSCas9-sgRNA-bamHIR between upstream and downstream (Fig. 5a). The plasmid template pWSCas9-sgRNA-bamHIR was amplified by primers VT-Cas9n-bamHIR-F/R.

Electrotransformation of *B. amyloliquefaciens* LB1ba02 was performed according to the method of Zakataeva et al. [10]. The competent cells added with plasmid DNA were exposed to a single electrical pulse by an Eppendorf Eporator under the condition of 12.5 kV/cm field strength for 4.5–6 ms.

Construction of base editing plasmids

The AID was fused with pWSCas9n by primers AID-F/R and pWSCas9n-F/R to construct a base editing backbone plasmid pWSCas9n-AID (Additional file 1: Figure S7). The transcription of sgRNA is under the P_{43} (no RBS) promoter, which were amplified by primers P_{43} -F/R and sgRNA-F/R and inserted into the *Sma*I restriction site, named pWSCas9n-AID-sgRNA (Fig. 1a). When replacing the 20 bp sgRNA sequence, an inverse PCR is performed to amplify the entire plasmid and then circularized by In-Fusion cloning. To construct the base editing plasmid pWSCas9n-AID-sgRNA-*pyrF*, the plasmid template pWSCas9n-AID-sgRNA was amplified by reverse primers sgRNA-*pyrF*-F and P₄₃-*pyrF*-R; subsequently, the amplified fragment was circularized by In-Fusion cloning and transformed into *E. coli* Mach1-T1. The plasmid pWSCas9n-AID-sgRNA-*pyrF* was obtained after DNA sequencing. Similarly, the base editing plasmid pWSCas9n-AID-sgRNA-*abnA* was constructed by reverse primers sgRNA-*abnA*-F and P₄₃-*abnA*-R.

To construct the three loci base editing plasmid pWS-Cas9n-AID-sgRNA-*aprE-nprE-mpr*, the P₄₃-sgRNA-*mpr* expression cassette was amplified by primers P₄₃-*mpr*-F/R and sgRNA-*mpr*-F/R; the P₄₃-sgRNA-*nprE* expression cassette was amplified by primers P₄₃-*nprE*-F/R and sgRNA-*nprE*-F/R; the P₄₃-sgRNA-*aprE* expression cassette was amplified by primers P₄₃-*aprE*-F/R and sgRNA*aprE*-F/R. These six DNA fragments were overlapped each other and inserted into the *XbaI* site of the backbone plasmid pWSCas9n-AID by In-Fusion cloning to obtain the edited plasmid pWSCas9n-AID-sgRNA-*aprEnprE-mpr* (Fig. 3a).

The experimental procedure of CRISPR/Cas9n-AID base editing

base-editing plasmid pWSCas9n-AID-sgRNA The was transformed into E. coli JM110 for demethylation and treated with BamHI methylase. Then the BamHImethylated pWSCas9n-AID-sgRNA was transformed into B. amyloliquefaciens LB1ba02, spread on kanamycin containing 50 µg/mL LB plate and cultured at 30 °C for 12-16 h. After the transformants on LB plate were verified by colony PCR, the correct single transformant containing the plasmid pWSCas9n-AID-sgRNA was induced in a liquid medium with 50 µg/mL kanamycin and 100 µM IPTG at 30 °C, 220 rpm for 24 h. Then the cell suspensions were diluted to 10⁻⁵ and spread on the LB plate at 37 °C for 12 h. Finally, 15 single colonies were randomly selected for PCR sequencing verification to represent the overall editing efficiency. The mutant edited successfully was cultured in a liquid medium at 42 °C for 12 h to lose the intracellular plasmid and achieve a tracefree gene knockout.

Fluorescence assays

The host with the integrated green fluorescent protein, *B. amyloliquefaciens* $\Delta bamHIR$ (GFP), was inoculated into a 24-well plate and cultured for 24 h 37 °C and 220 rpm. 1 mL of cultured cells were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 2 min, washed 3 times with 1 × TE buffer (pH 8.0).

Cells were resuspended in 1 mL of TE buffer and then 200 μ L were transferred to a 96-well microtiter plate, and a Tecan Infinite M200 microplate reader detected the fluorescence. Fluorescence program: excitation 490 nm, emission 530 nm, gain value 100. Cell density detection was performed at 600 nm. The fluorescence of the control strain without GFP (CF) was used for correction, and the relative fluorescence intensities (FI) were calculated by [45].

 $FI_{corrected} = FI/OD_{600(FI-TE)} - CF/OD_{600(CF-TE)}$

Detection of a-amylase activity

1% soluble starch solution was used as the substrate, and the solvent was phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 6.0). In the reaction system, 350 µL of the substrate were added to 50 µL of diluted enzyme solution, and the reaction was carried out at 60 °C for 10 min. To terminate the reaction, 800 µL of DNS (3,5-Dinitrosalicylic acid) solution were added, and the reaction was heated in a boiling water bath for 5 min. After a short centrifugation, 200 µL were taken to determine the absorbance value (540 nm). The enzyme solution inactivated by boiling for 5 min was used as a control. The means of three independent technical replicates were selected. One unit of activity was defined as the amount of enzyme required to release 1 μ mol maltose per minute (U/mL). The equation of the maltose standard curve and enzyme activity conversion were shown in the additional material Additional file 1: Figure S5.

Statistical analysis

All results were presented as the mean \pm standard deviation. Statistical analyses were determined by 2-tailed Student's t-test between two groups. Statistical significance was expressed as * for *P* < 0.05 and ** for *P* < 0.01.

Abbreviations

CRISPR: Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats; GRAS: Generally recognized as food safe; 5-FOA: 5-Fluoroorotic acid; U: uridine; 5-FU: 5-Fluorouracil; DSBs: Double-strand breaks; AID: Activation-induced cytidine deaminase; RBS: Ribosome binding site; DNS: 3,5-Dinitrosalicylic acid; 5Cs: Five consecutive cytosines.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12934-022-01832-2.

Additional file 1: Figure S1. The construction process of an all-in-one temperature-sensitive knockout plasmid pWSCas9n-sgRNA-*bamHlR*. Backbone plasmid pWSCas9n containing the *E. coli* replication origin, the temperature-sensitive replication origin rep pE194^{ts}, and a kanamycin resistance gene, Cas9n under the control of IPTG-inducible promoter P_{grac} . The sgRNA transcribed from the *Bacillus subtilis* promoter P_{43} , and donor

Page 11 of 13

DNA was used for homology repair of SSB. **Figure S2.** Editing efficiency test of the extracellaluar protease gene *wprA* by the single plasmid CRISPR/Cas9n system. Before knockout: 1209 bp. After knockout: 824 bp. **Figure S3.** The plasmid pBEP43 (demethylation first) with a *BamHI* restriction site was transformed into LB1ba02 and LB1ba02 Δ 4. (a) Map of the plasmid pBEP43. (b)The transformation plate for LB1ba02 Δ 4/ pBEP43. (c) The transformation plate for LB1ba02 Δ 4/ pBEP43. (c) The transformation plate for LB1ba02 Δ 4/ pBEP43. (c) The transformation plate for LB1ba02 Δ 4/ pBEP43. (c) The transformation plate for LB1ba02 Δ 4/ pBEP43. (c) The transformation plate for LB1ba02 Δ 4/ pBEP43. (c) The transformation of the plasmid pWSCas9n-sgRNA-*bamHIR*. **Figure S5.** The maltose standard curve. **Figure S6.** Map of the plasmid pWSCas9n-**SIGURE S7.** Map of the plasmid pWSCas9n-AID. **Figure S8.** Editing efficiency determination of cytosine at the -15 to -20 positions. **Figure S9.** Editing efficiency determination of five consecutive cytosines (5Cs) at the -16 to -20 positions. **Table S1.** Primers used in this study

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

L, P conceived and designed research. YD, C and QL, C performed the experiments. B, W analyzed data. QL, X participated in all experiments and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Project No.2021YFC2100200), the Core Technology Project of Foshan City (grant number 1920001000824), the Research and Development Plan in Key Areas of Guangdong Province (grant number 2018B020205002 and grant number 2020B020226007).

Data availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article [and its additional information files].

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

Qinglong Xin declares that he has no conflict of interest; Yudan Chen declares that she has no conflict of interest; Qianlin Chen declares that she has no conflict of interest; Bin Wang declares that he has no conflict of interest; Li Pan declares that he has no conflict of interest.

Received: 19 January 2022 Accepted: 17 May 2022 Published online: 28 May 2022

References

- Wang H, Zhang X, Qiu J, Wang KK, Meng K, Luo HY, Su XY, Ma R, Huang HQ, Yao B. Development of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* as a highlevel recombinant protein expression system. J Ind Microbiol Biot. 2019;46:113–23.
- Chen NN, Liu Y, Qin PW, Li Y, Ma DY, Li J, Shi TR, Zhu ZB. Antibacterial activities of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* DQB-1 isolated from the Cecum of Dezhou Donkeys. J Equine Vet Sci. 2021;102:103616.
- Jiao R, Cai YZ, He PF, Munir S, Li XY, Wu YX, Wang JW, Xia MY, He PB, Wang G, et al. *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* YN201732 produces Lipopeptides with promising biocontrol activity against fungal pathogen *Erysiphe cichoracearum*. Front Cell Infect Mi. 2021;11:598999.
- 4. Tian DD, Song XP, Li CS, Zhou W, Qin LY, Wei LP, Di W, Huang SM, Li BS, Huang QY, et al. Antifungal mechanism of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* strain

GKT04 against *Fusarium* wilt revealed using genomic and transcriptomic analyses. Microbiologyopen. 2021;10:e1192.

- Yan F, Ye XL, Li CH, Wang PS, Chen SQ, Lin HT. Isolation, purification, gene cloning and expression of antifungal protein from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* MG-3. Food Chem. 2021;349:129130.
- Yang L, Wang H, Lv Y, Bai YG, Luo HY, Shi PJ, Huang HQ, Yao B. Construction of a rapid feather-degrading bacterium by overexpression of a highly efficient alkaline keratinase in its parent Strain *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* K11. J Agr Food Chem. 2016;64:78–84.
- Devaraj K, Aathika S, Periyasamy K, Periyaraman PM, Palaniyandi S, Subramanian S. Production of thermostable multiple enzymes from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* KUB29. Nat Prod Res. 2019;33:1674–7.
- Zhao X, Cai M, Yang ZJ, Luo TQ, Sarwar A, Megrous S, Aziz T, Yang ZN. Purification and characterization of a novel milk-clotting enzyme produced by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* GSBa-1. Eur Food Res Technol. 2019;245:2447–57.
- He PF, Hao K, Blom J, Rueckert C, Vater J, Mao ZC, Wu YX, Hou MS, He PB, He YQ, Borriss R. Genome sequence of the plant growth promoting strain *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* subsp plantarum B9601–Y2 and expression of mersacidin and other secondary metabolites. J Biotechnol. 2013;164:281–91.
- Zakataeva NP, Nikitina OV, Gronskiy SV, Romanenkov DV, Livshits VA. A simple method to introduce marker-free genetic modifications into the chromosome of naturally nontransformable *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* strains. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2010;85:1201–9.
- Zhang W, Gao W, Feng J, Zhang C, He Y, Cao M, Li Q, Sun Y, Yang C, Song C. A markerless gene replacement method for *B. amyloliquefaciens* LL3 and its use in genome reduction and improvement of poly-γglutamic acid production. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2014;98:8963.
- Hong KQ, Liu DY, Chen T, Wang ZW. Recent advances in CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome editing in *Bacillus subtilis*. World J Microb Biot. 2018;34:153.
- Li KF, Cai DB, Wang ZQ, He ZL, Chen SW. Development of an efficient genome editing tool in *Bacillus licheniformis* using CRISPR-Cas9 Nickase. Appl Environ Microb. 2018;84:e02608.
- Zhang K, Duan X, Wu J. Multigene disruption in undomesticated Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6051a using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. Sci Rep-Uk. 2016;6:27943.
- Zhou CX, Liu H, Yuan FY, Chai HN, Wang HK, Liu FF, Li Y, Zhang HT, Lu FP. Development and application of a CRISPR/Cas9 system for *Bacillus licheniformis* genome editing. Int J Biol Macromol. 2019;122:329–37.
- Watzlawick H, Altenbuchner J. Multiple integration of the gene ganA into the Bacillus subtilis chromosome for enhanced beta-galactosidase production using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. AMB Expr. 2019;9:158.
- Wang YC, Wang DS, Wang XJ, Tao HX, Feng EL, Zhu L, Pan C, Wang BW, Liu CJ, Liu XK, Wang HL. Highly efficient genome engineering in *Bacillus anthracis* and *Bacillus cereus* using the CRISPR/Cas9 System. Front Microbiol. 2019;10:1932.
- Westbrook AW, Moo-Young M, Chou CP. Development of a CRISPR-Cas9 tool kit for comprehensive engineering of *Bacillus subtilis*. Appl Environ Microb. 2016;82:4876–95.
- Qiu Y, Zhu Y, Sha Y, Lei P, Xu H. Development of a robust *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* cell factory for efficient poly(γ-glutamic acid) production from Jerusalem Artichoke. Acs Sustain Chem Eng. 2020;8(26):9763–74.
- Zhao X, Zheng H, Zhen J, Shu W, Yang S, Xu J, Song H, Ma Y. Multiplex genetic engineering improves endogenous expression of mesophilic alpha-amylase gene in a wild strain *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* 205. Int J Biol Macromol. 2020;165:609–18.
- Song YF, He SQ, Abdallah II, Jopkiewicz A, Setroikromo R, van Merkerk R, Tepper PG, Quax WJ. Engineering of multiple modules to improve amorphadiene production in *Bacillus subtilis* using CRISPR-Cas9. J Agr Food Chem. 2021;69:4785–94.
- Hartz P, Gehl M, Konig L, Bernhardt R, Hannemann F. Development and application of a highly efficient CRISPR-Cas9 system for genome engineering in *Bacillus megaterium*. J Biotechnol. 2021;329:170–9.
- Nishida K, Arazoe T, Yachie N, Banno S, Kakimoto M, Tabata M, Mochizuki M, Miyabe A, Araki M, Hara KY, et al. Targeted nucleotide editing using hybrid prokaryotic and vertebrate adaptive immune systems. Science. 2016;353:aaf8729.

- 24. Yu SL, Price MA, Wang Y, Liu Y, Guo YM, Ni XM, Rosser SJ, Bi CH, Wang M. CRISPR-dCas9 mediated cytosine deaminase base editing in *Bacillus subtilis*. Acs Synth Biol. 2020;9:1781–9.
- Banno S, Nishida K, Arazoe T, Mitsunobu H, Kondo A. Deaminasemediated multiplex genome editing in *Escherichia coli*. Nat Microbiol. 2018;3:423–9.
- Rodrigues SD, Karimi M, Impens L, Lerberge EV, Coussens G, Aesaert S, Rombaut D, Holtappels D, Ibrahim HMM, Montagu MV, et al. Efficient CRISPR-mediated base editing in *Agrobacterium spp*. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2021;118: e2013338118.
- 27. Wang Y, Liu Y, Liu J, Guo Y, Fan L, Ni X, Zheng X, Wang M, Zheng P, Sun J, Ma Y. MACBETH: Multiplex automated *Corynebacterium glutamicum* base editing method. Metab Eng. 2018;47:200–10.
- Zhao Y, Tian J, Zheng G, Chen J, Sun C, Yang Z, Zimin AA, Jiang W, Deng Z, Wang Z, Lu Y. Multiplex genome editing using a dCas9-cytidine deaminase fusion in *Streptomyces*. Sci China Life Sci. 2020;63:1053–62.
- Wang Y, Cheng H, Liu Y, Liu Y, Wen X, Zhang K, Ni X, Gao N, Fan L, Zhang Z, et al. In-situ generation of large numbers of genetic combinations for metabolic reprogramming via CRISPR-guided base editing. Nat Commun. 2021;12:678.
- Shelake RM, Pramanik D, Kim J-Y. In Vivo rapid investigation of CRISPR-Based base editing components in *Escherichia coli* (IRI-CCE): a platform for evaluating base editing tools and their components. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23:1145.
- Huang LG, Dong HZ, Zheng JW, Wang B, Pan L. Highly efficient single base editing in *Aspergillus niger* with CRISPR/Cas9 cytidine deaminase fusion. Microbiol Res. 2019;223:44–50.
- 32. Ito T, Gong CJ, Kawamoto J, Kurihara T. Development of a versatile method for targeted gene deletion and insertion by using the *pyrF* gene in the psychrotrophic bacterium, *Shewanella livingstonensis* Ac10. J Biosci Bioeng. 2016;122:645–51.
- Kurniyati K, Li CH. pyrF as a Counterselectable marker for unmarked genetic manipulations in *Treponema denticola*. Appl Environ Microb. 2016;82:1346–52.
- Yang YY, Sun QQ, Liu Y, Yin HZ, Yang WP, Wang Y, Liu Y, Li YX, Pang S, Liu WX, et al. Development of a pyrF-based counterselectable system for targeted gene deletion in *Streptomyces rimosus*. J Zhejiang Univ-Sc B. 2021;22:383–96.
- Feng J, Gu Y, Quan Y, Zhang W, Wang S. Recruiting a new strategy to improve levan production in *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. Sci Rep-Uk. 2015;5:13814.
- Garcia-Moyano A, Larsen O, Gaykawad S, Christakou E, Boccadoro C, Puntervoll P, Bjerga GEK. Fragment exchange plasmid tools for CRISPR/ Cas9-Mediated gene integration and protease production in *Bacillus* subtilis. Appl Environ Microb. 2021;87:e02090.
- So Y, Park SY, Park EH, Park SH, Kim EJ, Pan JG, Choi SK. A highly efficient CRISPR-Cas9-mediated large genomic deletion in *Bacillus subtilis*. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:1167.
- Chandrasekaran M, Boopathi T, Paramasivan M. A status-quo review on CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing applications in tomato. Int J Biol Macromol. 2021;190:120–9.
- Shioi S, Shimamoto A, Song YX, Hidaka K, Nakamura M, Take A, Hayashi N, Takiguchi S, Fujikane R, Hidaka M, et al. DNA polymerase delta Exo domain stabilizes mononucleotide microsatellites in human cells. DNA Repair. 2021;108:103216.
- Wang YX, Cao LF, Bi MY, Wang SC, Chen MT, Chen XY, Ying M, Huang L. Wobble editing of cre-box by unspecific CRISPR/Cas9 causes CCR release and phenotypic changes in *Bacillus pumilus*. Front Chem. 2021;9:717609.
- Song CW, Rathnasingh C, Song H. CRISPR-Cas9 mediated metabolic engineering of a mucoid *Bacillus licheniformis* isolate for mass production of 2,3-butanediol. Biochem Eng J. 2021;175:108141.
- 42. Wang XJ, Lyu YF, Wang SY, Zheng QF, Feng EL, Zhu L, Pan C, Wang SH, Wang DS, Liu XK, Wang HL. Application of CRISPR/Cas9 system for Plasmid elimination and bacterial killing of *Bacillus cereus* group strains. Front Microbiol. 2021;12:536357.
- 43. Price MA, Cruz R, Baxter S, Escalettes F, Rosser SJ, Lewin AS. CRISPR-Cas9 In Situ engineering of subtilisin E in *Bacillus subtilis*. Plos ONE. 2019;14:e0210121.

- Alvarez B, Mencia M, de Lorenzo V, Fernandez LA. In vivo diversification of target genomic sites using processive base deaminase fusions blocked by dCas9. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):6436.
- 45. Yaokang W, Taichi C, Yanfeng L, Rongzhen T, Xueqin L, Jianghua L, Guocheng D, Jian C, Rodrigo LA, Long L. Design of a programmable biosensor-CRISPRi genetic circuits for dynamic and autonomous dualcontrol of metabolic flux in *Bacillus subtilis*. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz1123.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

