RESEARCH



Open Access

Metabolic pathway engineering based on metabolomics confers acetic and formic acid tolerance to a recombinant xylose-fermenting strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Tomohisa Hasunuma¹, Tomoya Sanda², Ryosuke Yamada², Kazuya Yoshimura², Jun Ishii¹, Akihiko Kondo^{2*}

Abstract

Background: The development of novel yeast strains with increased tolerance toward inhibitors in lignocellulosic hydrolysates is highly desirable for the production of bio-ethanol. Weak organic acids such as acetic and formic acids are necessarily released during the pretreatment (i.e. solubilization and hydrolysis) of lignocelluloses, which negatively affect microbial growth and ethanol production. However, since the mode of toxicity is complicated, genetic engineering strategies addressing yeast tolerance to weak organic acids have been rare. Thus, enhanced basic research is expected to identify target genes for improved weak acid tolerance.

Results: In this study, the effect of acetic acid on xylose fermentation was analyzed by examining metabolite profiles in a recombinant xylose-fermenting strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Metabolome analysis revealed that metabolites involved in the non-oxidative pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) [e.g. sedoheptulose-7-phosphate, ribulose-5-phosphate, ribose-5-phosphate and erythrose-4-phosphate] were significantly accumulated by the addition of acetate, indicating the possibility that acetic acid slows down the flux of the pathway. Accordingly, a gene encoding a PPP-related enzyme, transaldolase or transketolase, was overexpressed in the xylose-fermenting yeast, which successfully conferred increased ethanol productivity in the presence of acetic and formic acid.

Conclusions: Our metabolomic approach revealed one of the molecular events underlying the response to acetic acid and focuses attention on the non-oxidative PPP as a target for metabolic engineering. An important challenge for metabolic engineering is identification of gene targets that have material importance. This study has demonstrated that metabolomics is a powerful tool to develop rational strategies to confer tolerance to stress through genetic engineering.

Background

Numerous environmental and social benefits could result from the replacement of petroleum-based transport fuels with bio-ethanol converted from lignocellulosic materials such as agricultural residues and industrial waste [1,2]. The commonly used yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* has many advantages as an ethanol producer, such as fast sugar consumption, high ethanol yield from glucose, and higher resistance to ethanol and other compounds present in lignocellulosic hydrolysates than bacteria [3]. However, a major drawback is that *S. cerevisiae* cannot utilize xylose, the most common pentose sugar in the hemicellulose that makes up a sizable fraction of lignocellulosic hydrolysates. Thus, most efforts in the engineering of *S. cerevisiae* for xylose fermentation have focused on manipulation of the initial xylose metabolic pathway [4]. The reconstruction of an efficient xylose assimilation pathway in *S. cerevisiae* has been approached via heterologous expression of genes for xylose reductase (XR) and xylitol dehydrogenase (XDH) derived from *Pichia stipitis* along with overexpression of *S. cerevisiae* xylulokinase (XK) to produce ethanol in xylose fermentation [5-7]. Xylose is first reduced to xylitol by XR, and then xylitol is oxidized to xylulose by



© 2011 Hasunuma et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: akondo@kobe-u.ac.jp

²Department of Chemical Science and Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Kobe University, 1-1 Rokkodai, Nada, Kobe 657-8501, Japan Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

XDH. Xylulose is phosphorylated by XK to xylulose-5-phosphate (X5P), which is then metabolized through the non-oxidative pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) and the glycolysis pathway (Figure 1). On the other hand, a xylose isomerase (XI) gene derived from the anaerobic fungus *Piromyces* has also been introduced into *S. cerevisiae* [8]. XI converts xylose to xylulose in one step; however, the rate of xylose consumption is much lower in the XI-expressing strain [9].

To exploit lignocellulosic materials for fuel ethanol production, the improvement of not only fermentation capacity but also tolerance to compounds present in the hydrolysates is required. Contrary to sugarcane- or starch-derived feedstocks, lignocellulosic hydrolysates contain a variety of toxic compounds that negatively affect microbial growth, metabolism and ethanol yield. Harsh conditions used in the pretreatment of the raw material release inhibitors including weak organic acids, furan derivatives, and phenolics [10,11]. Specifically, the acetic acid that is released during solubilization and hydrolysis of hemicellulase [12] is usually found at a high concentration in the hydrolysate. Levels of acetate depend on the type of biomass and the pretreatment method. Concentrations typically range from 1 to 10 g/L in the hydrolysate [10]. Formic acid is typically present at lower concentrations than acetic acid, but is more toxic to *S. cerevisiae* than acetic acid [13,14]. Other toxic weak acids, for which the concentrations in the hydrolysate are rarely reported, are present at even lower concentrations than formic acid.

Although the mechanism of inhibition by weak acids is not easily elucidated, the inhibitory effect of weak acids has been ascribed to uncoupling and intracellular anion accumulation [10,12]. The undissociated form of weak acids can diffuse from the fermentation medium across the plasma membrane and dissociate due to



1,3-bisphosphoglycerate; DHAP, dihydroxyacetonephosphate; E4P, erythrose-4-phosphate; FBP, fructose-1,6-bisphosphate; F6P, fructose-6phosphate; GAP, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate; Glycerol3P, glycerol-3-phosphate; G6P, glucose-6-phosphate; PEP, phosphoglycerate; SPG, 6phosphogluconate; 2PGA, 2-phosphoglycerate; 3PGA, 3-phosphoglycerate; R5P, ribose-5-phosphate; Ru5P, ribulose-5-phosphate; S7P, sedoheptulose-7-phosphate; X5P, xylulose-5-phosphate. Enzymes are indicated by their gene assignment symbols: GND, 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase; SOL, 6-phosphogluconolactonase; TAL, transaldolase; TDH, glyceraldehydes-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; XDH, xylitol dehydrogenase; XR, xylose reductase; ZWF, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase. higher intracellular pH, thus decreasing the cytosolic pH. In addition, intracellular accumulation of the anionic species may contribute to weak acid toxicity [15,16]. If the anionic form of acetic acid is captured in the cells, undissociated acid in the medium will diffuse into the cell until equilibrium is reached.

Improvement of yeast tolerance to lignocellulosic hydrolysates has been achieved by overexpressing homologous or heterologous genes encoding enzymes that confer resistance towards specific inhibitors such as furan derivatives and phenolic compounds [17-21]. In contrast, genetic engineering strategies that have addressed yeast tolerance to weak organic acids are rare [11]. Thus, enhanced basic research is expected to identify further target genes for improved acid tolerance.

The metabolic profiling technique [22] can be a powerful tool to gain insight into functional biology. The comprehensive analysis of a wide range of metabolites from cellular extracts with high-sensitivity mass spectrometry (MS) makes it well suited for the identification of metabolic compounds that are important for specific biological questions [23-25]. Metabolites are often the final downstream products or effects of gene expression and therefore can be used to assign or validate functional annotations related to enzyme activities in the associated metabolic pathway. Accordingly, such a metabolomic approach can be used for designing novel strategies to develop more efficient cell capacities through genetic engineering.

In the present study, the effect of acetic acid on xylose fermentation was determined through a metabolomic approach with both capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry (CE-MS) and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), which revealed that the accumulation of metabolites involved in the non-oxidative PPP [e.g. sedoheptulose-7-phosphate (S7P), ribulose-5phosphate (Ru5P), ribose-5-phosphate (R5P) and erythrose-4-phosphate (E4P)] increased with an increase in acetic acid concentration in a recombinant xylose-fermenting S. cerevisiae strain. Consequently, the gene encoding a PPP-related enzyme, transaldolase (TAL) or transketolase (TKL) (Figure 1) from S. cerevisiae was overexpressed in the strain, which conferred increased ethanol productivity in the presence of acetic and formic acids in the xylose-fermenting yeast.

Results

Effect of acetic acid on fermentation by a xylosefermenting yeast

To determine effects of acetic acid (30 or 60 mM) on glucose-xylose mixture fermentation by the XR/XDH/ XK-based recombinant *S. cerevisiae* strain MN8140X, fermentation was performed anaerobically in YP medium containing 60 g/L glucose and 40 g/L xylose as carbon sources at 30°C after aerobic cultivation of cells in YPD medium. Initial cell concentration was adjusted to 50 g of wet cells/L. As shown in Figure 2A-C, the xylose consumption rate decreased with increase in acetic acid concentration, while glucose consumption remained nearly unaffected by the addition of 60 mM acetic acid. The specific xylose consumption rates of the strain were 0.36 ± 0.01 , 0.19 ± 0.01 and 0.11 ± 0.01 g-xylose/g-cells/h at acetate concentrations of 0, 30 and 60 mM, respectively. Also, the amount of xylose consumed was decreased by the addition of acetic acid whereas glucose was completely consumed within 6 h even in 60 mM acetate. These results indicate that xylose fermentation by the XR/XDH/XK-based strain was more sensitive to acetic acid than glucose fermentation. In the absence of acetic acid, cell density observed at 600 nm was slightly increased after the initiation of the fermentation while the density was nearly unchanged during fermentation in the presence of 30 and 60 mM acetic acid. Subsequently, effects of acetic acid (30 or 60 mM) on the fermentation of xylose as a sole carbon source were determined. The fermentation was performed in YPX medium with or without acetic acid at 30°C using yeast cells aerobically prepared as described above; these cells also showed fermentation inhibition by acetic acid in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2D-E). The addition of acetic acid caused reduction in the consumption of xylose and in the production of ethanol, xylitol and glycerol. After 24 h fermentation, OD 600 was 38.7 \pm 0.8, 32.8 \pm 0.8 and 33.9 \pm 2.0 at acetate concentrations of 0 mM, 30 mM and 60 mM, respectively.

Thus, metabolome analysis of yeast cells that were used for the xylose fermentation was performed. Intracellular metabolites were extracted at 4, 6, and 24 h after the initiation of fermentation as described in Methods. Ionic metabolites such as sugar phosphates [dihydroxyacetonephosphate (DHAP), E4P, fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (FBP), fructose-6-phosphate (F6P), glucose-6-phosphate (G6P), 6-phosphogluconate (6PG), R5P, Ru5P and S7P], organic acids (fumarate, 2-ketoglutarate, malate, lactate, pyruvate and succinate), nucleotides (ADP and ATP) and coenzymes (CoA, acetyl-CoA, NAD⁺, NADH, NADP⁺ and NADPH) were analyzed by CE-MS. As shown in Figure 3, in the absence of added acetic acid, non-oxidative PPP intermediates such as S7P, E4P, Ru5P and R5P decreased with time, whereas the decrease in these metabolites was slower in 30 mM acetate. Furthermore, on the addition of 60 mM acetic acid, the accumulation of these metabolites after 4 h fermentation did not decrease after 24 h. These results raise the possibility that the flux through the nonoxidative PPP in xylose fermentation was inhibited by the addition of acetate.



The decrease in R5P, Ru5P, S7P, E4P with time in the absence of acetate is likely due to the change of culture condition from aerobic to anaerobic cultivation. Before the initiation of xylose fermentation, these metabolites should be accumulated in the cells during the aerobic pre-cultivation in YPD medium. Figure 3 also shows increase of glycolytic intermediates such as DHAP, F6P, FBP and G6P by the addition of acetic acid. After 24 h of fermentation, 60 mM acetate condition caused the highest accumulation of the glycolytic metabolites. The accumulation levels of organic acids, nucleotides and coenzymes were shown in Additional file1

Sugars and sugar alcohols were quantified by GC-MS. The level of xylose in yeast cells clearly decreased with time in the absence of added acetic acid, while the level was almost constant in the presence of 60 mM acetate. In the case of 60 mM acetate, the intracellular content of xylitol and glycerol was lower than with 0 or 30 mM.

Construction of strains expressing the TAL1 or TKL1 gene

In improving ethanol production in the presence of acetic acid, we focused on the excess accumulation of the non-oxidative PPP intermediates in yeast cells. In *S. cerevisiae* strains, TAL and TKL have been implicated as being rate-limiting steps for xylose fermentation [26]. TAL catalyzes the conversion of S7P and GAP to F6P and E4P, while TKL catalyzes the conversion of X5P

and R5P to S7P and GAP, and the conversion of E4P and X5P to F6P and GAP (Figure 1). Thus, recombinant *S. cerevisiae* strains expressing the *TAL1* gene coding TAL or the *TKL1* gene coding TKL from *S. cerevisiae* under the control of the *PGK1* promoter were constructed by homologous recombination (Table 1). The integration of these genes into the chromosomal genome of xylose-fermenting strain MT8-1X was confirmed by genomic PCR (data not shown). The activity of TAL in MT8-1X/TAL was 2.1-fold higher compared to the control strain (MT8-1X/pGK404) (Table 2). Also, the activity of TKL in MT8-1X/TKL was 3.1-fold higher compared to MT8-1X/pGK405.

The effect of acetic acid on xylose-fermenting strains expressing the TAL1 or TKL1 gene

The recombinant strain MT8-1X/TAL was used for xylose fermentation with or without addition of acetic acid (Figure 4). MT8-1X/TAL showed a higher ethanol production rate and a higher xylose consumption rate than the control strain, regardless of acetic acid concentration. The specific xylose consumption rates of MT8-1X/TAL were 0.36 ± 0.01 , 0.20 ± 0.01 and 0.12 ± 0.01 g-xylose/g-cells/h at acetate concentrations of 0, 30 and 60 mM, respectively, while those of the control strain were 0.26 ± 0.01 , 0.13 ± 0.02 and 0.10 ± 0.02 g-xylose/g-cells/h. The specific ethanol productivities of



Table 1	Characterization	of S.	cerevisiae	strains	and	plasmids	used	in	this	stud	ly
---------	------------------	-------	------------	---------	-----	----------	------	----	------	------	----

Strain or plasmid	Description	Reference for source
S. <i>cerevisiae</i> strains		
MT8-1	MATa ade his3 leu2 trp1 ura3	[49]
MT8-1X	MT8-1 (pIUX1X2XK)	[42]
MT8-1X/TAL	MT8-1X (pGK404ScTAL1)	This study
MT8-1X/pGK404	MT8-1X (pGK404)	This study
MT8-1X/TKL	MT8-1X (pGK405ScTKL1)	This study
MT8-1X/pGK405	MT8-1X (pGK405)	This study
NBRC1440AHUWL	MAT $lpha$ his3 leu2 trp1 ura3	[50]
NBRC1440X	NBRC1440 ΔHUWL (pIUX1X2XK)	This study
MT8-1X/pRS403	MT8-1X (pRS403)	This study
MN8140X	MATa/α leu2 trp1 (pIUX1X2XK)	This study
Plasmids		
pIUX1X2XK	URA3, expression of XYL1, XYL2 and XKS1 genes	[42]
pGK404ScTAL1	HIS3, expression of S. cerevisiae TAL1 gene	This study
pGK405ScTKL1	LEU2, expression of S. cerevisiae TKL1 gene	This study
pGK404	HIS3, no expression (control plasmid)	[41]
pGK405	LEU2, no expression (control plasmid)	[41]
pRS403	HIS3, no expression (control plasmid)	Stratagene

Table 2 *In vitro* enzyme activities of TAL and TKL in recombinant *S. cerevisiae* strains a

	Specific activity (U/mg protein)				
Strain	TAL	TKL			
MT8-1X/TAL	0.111 ± 0.009	0.066 ± 0.021			
MT8-1X/pGK404	0.054 ± 0.004	0.052 ± 0.016			
MT8-1X/TKL	0.027 ± 0.011	0.149 ± 0.009			
MT8-1X/pGK405	0.021 ± 0.012	0.048 ± 0.013			

^a The values are the averages of three independent experiments, ±SEM.

MT8-1X/TAL was 0.04 ± 0.00 , 0.09 ± 0.00 and 0.03 ± 0.00 g-ethanol/g-cells/h at acetate concentrations of 0, 30 and 60 mM, respectively, while those of the control strain was 0.03 ± 0.00 , 0.03 ± 0.00 and 0.00 ± 0.00 g-ethanol/g-cells/h. In the presence of 30 mM acetic acid, ethanol concentration in MT8-1X/TAL was 1.72-fold higher than that in MT8-1X/pGK404 after 48 h of fermentation (Table 3). The yield (in grams of ethanol produced per gram of xylose consumed) increased from 0.301 g/g in the control strain to 0.425 g/g in MT8-1X/TAL. Interestingly, ethanol concentration (15.55 g/L) in MT8-1X/TAL in the presence of 30 mM acetic acid was 1.35-fold higher than that (11.50 g/L) in the absence of acetic acid. MT8-1X/TAL produced 8.33 g/L of ethanol in 60 mM acetate, while almost no ethanol was observed

in the control after 48 h of fermentation. These results indicate that the overexpression of the *TAL1* gene improved ethanol fermentative capacity in the presence of acetic acid in the xylose-fermenting yeast. The content of the non-oxidative PPP intermediates such as S7P, R5P and Ru5P was decreased by the expression of the *TAL1* gene (Table 4). In the presence of 60 mM acetic acid, after 24 h of fermentation, the content of S7P, R5P and Ru5P in MT8-1X/TAL was 6.4-, 3.1- and 1.8-fold lower than that in the control strain. In both MT8-1X/TAL and MT8-1X/PGK404 strains, xylitol production was decreased by the addition of acetate. The concentrations of acetate added were constant during the fermentation (data not shown).

The effect of expression of the TKL1 gene on xylose fermentation in the presence of acetic acid was also determined (Figure 5). The recombinant strain MT8-1X/TKL showed a higher ethanol production rate and a higher xylose consumption rate compared to the control strain (MT8-1X/pGK405) in xylose fermentation with or without acetic acid. The ethanol concentration in the presence of acetic acid (30 and 60 mM) was also increased by the expression of the TKL1 gene. However, the impact of TKL1 expression on acetate tolerance was smaller than that of TAL1 expression. Also, a recombinant strain that expresses both the TAL1 and TKL1





Strain	Acetic acid	Ethanol (g/L)	Y _(Ethanol/Xylose) (g/g)	Y _(Xylitol/Xylose) (g/g)	Y _(Glycerol/Xylose) (g/g)
MT8-1X/TAL	0 mM	11.50 ± 0.41	0.324 ± 0.013	0.203 ± 0.011	0.032 ± 0.002
	30 mM	15.55 ± 0.01	0.425 ± 0.018	0.105 ± 0.005	0.027 ± 0.002
	60 mM	8.33 ± 0.27	0.328 ± 0.025	0.021 ± 0.024	0.003 ± 0.004
MT8-1X/pGK404	0 mM	11.13 ± 0.40	0.304 ± 0.011	0.200 ± 0.011	0.031 ± 0.001
	30 mM	9.05 ± 0.11	0.301 ± 0.010	0.090 ± 0.004	0.015 ± 0.001
	60 mM	0.88 ± 0.31	0.053 ± 0.022	0 ± 0	0 ± 0

Table 3 Ethanol concentration, ethanol yield, xylitol yield, and glycerol yield after 48 h of fermentation of 40 g/L xylose with different concentrations of acetic acid by recombinant *S. cerevisiae* strains^{*a*}

^a The values are the averages of four independent experiments, ±SEM.

genes under the control of the *PGK1* promoter was constructed, which showed no increase in ethanol production from xylose in the presence of acetic acid compared to the control strain (data not shown).

The addition of acetic acid slightly inhibited cell growth of MT8-1X/TAL and MT8-1X/TKL as it did the control strains. However, ethanol fermentation ability was improved by the expression of *TAL1* and *TKL1*. These results support ethanol production in the presence of acetic acid being improved by the alteration in xylose metabolism.

Effect of formic acid on xylose-fermenting strain expressing the TAL1 gene

Formic acid has a more inhibitory effect on the yield of ethanol in glucose fermentation than acetic acid [27]. The increased toxicity seems to be associated with its high permeability through the plasma membrane [10]. In the present study, MT8-1X/TAL1 demonstrated higher ethanol production in the presence of 15 mM and 30 mM formic acid compared with the control strain (Figure 6).

Discussion

We demonstrated that acetic acid negatively affected the non-oxidative PPP in xylose-fermenting *S. cerevisiae* strains by the determination of comprehensive metabolic intermediates through a metabolomic approach.

 Table 4 Content of PPP intermediates in recombinant

 strains under different acetate condition^a

	Metabolite content (nmol/g dry cell weight) ^b						
	MT8-	1X/TAL	MT8-1X/pGK404				
	0 mM Acetate	60 mM Acetate	0 mM Acetate	60 mM Acetate			
6PG	18.89 ± 0.58	24.87 ± 1.99	77.71 ± 16.09	33.23 ± 3.77			
R5P	14.59 ± 7.64	130.48 ± 10.56	230.12 ± 34.22	409.51 ± 79.03			
Ru5P	24.20 ± 5.70	201.70 ± 16.05	217.88 ± 22.98	367.92 ± 72.41			
S7P	657.80 ± 8.37	986.61 ± 41.26	3270.01 ± 448.68	6317.95 ± 641.64			

^{*a*} The values are the averages of three independent experiments, ±SEM. ^{*b*} Metabolites were extracted from recombinant *S. cerevisiae* strains after 24 h fermentation of 40 g/l xylose at 30°C. Subsequently, the overexpression of the *TAL1* gene encoding transaldolase, which is assumed to be one of the rate-limiting enzymes in the non-oxidative PPP, successfully reduce the inhibition of the fermentation capacity by weak acids such as acetic and formic acid. Our results demonstrated that metabolomics is a powerful tool to gain insight into the effects of environmental perturbation on microbial metabolism and then to develop rational strategies to confer tolerance to the stress through genetic engineering.

As shown in Figure 2, the xylose consumption rate was more severely affected by the addition of acetic acid than the glucose consumption rate. This specific effect of acetic acid on the fermentation of xylose has been observed with strains based on the expression of xylose isomerase (XI) from Piromyces [28]. In a previous report [29], ethanol yield on xylose was more sensitive to acetic acid than the yield on glucose in fermentation with a glucose-xylose mixture by an XR/XDH-based recombinant strain. In genetically engineered S. cerevisiae strains, xylulose formed by XR/XDH or XI from xylose is phosphorylated to X5P and channeled via the nonoxidative PPP into glycolysis (Figure 1). Although glucose was metabolized via glycolysis or PPP, a large part of glucose would be converted to ethanol through glycolysis rather than PPP during anaerobic fermentation according to metabolic flux analysis [30]. Our results raise the possibility that the xylose-specific effect is caused by the inhibition of the xylose metabolic pathway such as the non-oxidative PPP or xylose uptake through transporter. In fact, this possibility was supported by the accumulation of metabolic intermediates involved in the non-oxidative PPP (Figure 3).

As shown in Figure 2, cell density slightly increased in the absence of acetic acid while it was constant during fermentation in the presence of acetic acid. These results indicate that acetic acid inhibits cell growth. On the other hand, there was no difference in cell density between 30 mM and 60 mM acetate although the levels of intracellular metabolites altered by the increased acetic acid. Therefore, acetic acid would negatively affect xylose metabolism.



Figure 5 Effects of acetic acid on fermentation of xylose as a sole carbon source at 30°C using *S. cerevisiae* MT8-1X/TKL (A-C) and MT8-1X/pGK405 (D-F). Acetic acid was added to the fermentation at a concentration of 0 mM (A, D), 30 mM (B, E) or 60 mM (C, F). The values are the averages of four independent experiments, ±SEM. Symbols: closed circles, biomass (OD 600); closed squares, xylose; open circles, ethanol; closed triangles, glycerol; open squares, xylitol.



TAL and TKL have been considered rate-limiting enzymes in the non-oxidative PPP, which is supported by several genome-scale and enzymatic analyses of mutant strains with improved xylose metabolism, in which TAL1, TKL1, or both genes have been found to be upregulated [30-34]. We revealed that the overexpression of TAL1 or TKL1 genes in recombinant xylosefermenting strains improved ethanol production in the presence of acetic acid. In the presence of 30 mM or 60 mM acetic acid, the ethanol concentration in the TAL1expressing strain was 1.72-fold or 9.47-fold higher than that in the control strain after 48 h of fermentation (Table 3). The increase in the ethanol yield by the addition of 30 mM acetic acid in the strain might be correlated with the decrease in the xylitol yield. So far, metabolic engineering studies to improve the tolerance of S. cerevisiae to weak organic acids have been rare [11]. Success in different backgrounds, for instance an acetate-tolerant S. cerevisiae strain selected for use in sourdough [35], could be extrapolated to glucose fermentation in the presence of weak acids. To our knowledge, however, XR/XDH/XK-based recombinant strains have not been reported to have acquired tolerance to weak organic acids through metabolic engineering.

MT8-1X/TAL showed the highest ethanol concentration when it was exposed to 30 mM acetic acid (Table 3). A previous fermentation of a softwood (spruce) hydrolysate solution mainly containing glucose indicated a similar trend that low acid concentrations (< 100 mM) increased the ethanol yield at pH 5.5, whereas the yield decreased at higher concentration [27]. It is postulated that a low concentration of acid stimulates the production of ATP, which is achieved under anaerobic conditions by ethanol production [10]. The decrease in intracellular pH by uptake of undissociated acetic acid could be neutralized by the plasma membrane ATPase, which pumps protons out of the cell at the expense of ATP hydrolysis. Additional ATP must be generated in order to maintain the intracellular pH.

The ethanol production from xylose with acetic acid in MT8-1X/TKL was significantly less than that in MT8-1X/TAL (Figures 4 & 5), indicating that the enhancement of *TAL1* expression is more effective than that of *TKL1* expression for the improvement of tolerance to acetic acid. This might be due to the increase in the flux of the non-oxidative PPP by TAL. An early attempt to overexpress *P. stipitis TKL* in xylose-metabolizing *S. cerevisiae* was unsuccessful [36], whereas overexpression of the endogenous *S. cerevisiae TAL1* resulted in improved growth on xylose [37]. In our study, co-expression of the *TAL1* and the *TKL1* genes in MT8-1X did not lead to an increase in the ethanol production from xylose in the presence of acetic acid compared to the control strain. The expression of only *TAL1* gene might be sufficient to relieve the negative regulation of the non-oxidative PPP by acetic acid. Possibly, optimization of the ratio of overexpressed enzymes in the non-oxidative PPP should be considered in future studies.

The biochemical and molecular basis of yeast stress tolerance has been investigated by a global gene expression analysis using a DNA microarray [38]. Evolutionarily engineered yeast that tolerates furfural and 5-hydroxymethyfurfural showed enhanced expression of *ZWF1*, *GND1*, *GND2*, and *TDH1*, genes involved in NAD(P)H regeneration steps in PPP and glycolysis pathway [39]. Also, screening of single gene deletion mutant library revealed that PPP genes *ZWF1*, *GND1*, *RPE1*, and *TKL1* were required for furfural tolerance in *S. cerevisiae* [17]. These reports support PPP being intimately associated with yeast stress tolerance to fermentation inhibitors.

As shown in Figure 3, the addition of acetic acid to the xylose fermentation led to the accumulation of intracellular xylose, while the xylitol level reduced by the addition of 60 mM acetate. The xylitol content in the fermentation medium also decreased (Figure 2). These results raise the possibility that the activity of XR is negatively affected by the addition of acetic acid.

The inhibition of yeast fermentation by weak acid is clearly a significant hurdle for the development of ethanol production from lignocellulose. However, there are only a few reported studies on strategies to overcome this inhibition. During the past few years, global gene expression analysis and proteomic analysis have been performed under conditions mimicking the authentic ethanol fermentation process, presenting dynamic views of gene expression and protein biosynthesis in the yeast stress response [38]. As a part of systems biology, metabolomics represents the distal read-out of cellular status in contrast to genomics and proteomics, and more closely reflects the activities of the cell at a functional level. We report herein a successful application of metabolomics to breeding robust S. cerevisiae strains that have higher stress tolerance and ethanol productivity. An important challenge for metabolic engineering is identification of gene targets that have material importance for improvement of cellular function. This study supports metabolomics as facilitating metabolic engineering to optimize microorganisms for industrial ethanol production from lignocellulosic feedstocks through white biotechnology.

Conclusions

Our metabolomic approach revealed that metabolic intermediates involved in the non-oxidative PPP were accumulated in xylose-fermenting *S. cerevisiae* strains by the addition of 30-60 mM acetic acid to cause reduction

in xylose consumption and ethanol production, raising the possibility that the metabolism of the non-oxidative PPP was inhibited by the addition of acetic acid. Accordingly, a recombinant strain overexpressing *TAL1* gene was constructed, which successfully improved ethanol production from xylose in the presence of acetic acid with decrease in the accumulation of the PPP intermediates. The *TAL1*-expressing strain also demonstrated higher ethanol production in the presence of 15-30 mM formic acid. The present work has demonstrated that metabolomics is a powerful tool to gain insight into the effects of environmental perturbation on microbial metabolism and then to design rational strategies to confer tolerance to the stress through metabolic engineering.

Methods

Microbial strains and media

Microbial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Yeast strains were routinely cultivated at 30°C in synthetic medium [SD medium; 6.7 g/L of yeast nitrogen base without amino acids (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI), 20 g/L of glucose] supplemented with appropriate amino acids and nucleotides, and in YPD medium (20 g/L peptone, 10 g/L yeast extract, 20 g/L glucose). *Escherichia coli* NovaBlue (Novagen, Inc., Madison, WI) was used as the host strain for recombinant DNA manipulation. *E. coli* was grown in Luria-Bertani medium (10 g/L peptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, and 5 g/L sodium chloride) containing 100 mg/L ampicillin.

Construction of plasmids

Plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. Standard techniques for nucleic acid manipulation were used as described by Sambrook et al. [40]. The TAL1 gene, encoding TAL protein, was amplified using genomic DNA of S. cerevisiae strain MT8-1 as a template and the primer set P1 (5'-ATCAGGACTAGTATGTCTGAACCAGCT-CAAAAG-3')/P2 (5'-AATCGCGGATCCTTAAGCGG-TAACTTTCTTTTCAATC-3'). The SpeI and BamHI sites are underlined. Similarly, the *TKL1* gene, encoding TKL protein, was amplified from the same genomic DNA using the primer set P3 (5'-ACGCGTCGACATGACTCAATT-CACTGACATTG-3')/P4 (5'-ATCAGGACTAGTTTA-GAAAGCTTTTTTCAAAGGAG-3'). The SalI and SpeI sites are underlined. PCR-amplified TAL1 gene was digested with SpeI and BamHI, then ligated into the SpeI-BamHI site of pGK404 [41] to yield plasmid pGK404ScTAL1. Similarly, TKL1 gene was digested with SalI and SpeI, then ligated into the SalI-SpeI site of pGK405 [41] to yield plasmid pGK405ScTKL1.

Yeast transformation

Yeast transformants constructed in this study are summarized in Table 1. Transformation was performed by the lithium-acetate method, using the Yeastmaker transformation system (Clontech Laboratories, Inc., Palo Alto, CA). Transformants were selected on SD medium supplemented with appropriate amino acids and nucleotides. The plasmids pGK404, pGK404ScTAL1, pGK405, and pGK405ScTKL1 were digested with *Eco*RV and the linear products were transformed into *S. cerevisiae* strain MT8-1X. The plasmids pRS403 (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) was digested with *Msc*I and the linearized product was transformed into the strain MT8-1X to yield MT8-1X/pRS403. Similarly, pIUX1X2XK [42] was digested with *Pst*I and transformed NBRC1440 Δ HUWL to yield NBRC1440X.

Mating

Diploid strain MN8140X was constructed by mating haploid strains MT8-1X/pRS403 and NBRC1440X. Individual haploid strains grown on YPD liquid medium for 24 h were harvested and spread together on YPD plates. After incubation for 72 h at 30°C, resultant strain was replicaplated to SD plates, and incubated for 3 days at 30°C. The resulting diploid strain formed single colonies on SD plates.

Fermentation

The yeast transformants were aerobically cultivated in YPD medium for 48 h at 30°C. Cells were collected by centrifugation at 1,000 \times g for 5 min at 4°C and washed twice with distilled water. The cells were inoculated into 50 ml of fermentation medium (YPX medium: 10 g/L yeast extract, 20 g/L peptone, and 40 g/L xylose, or YPDX medium: 10 g/L yeast extract, 20 g/L peptone, 60 g/L glucose, and 40 g/L xylose) containing acetic acid. All fermentations were performed at 30°C with mild agitation in 100 ml closed bottles equipped with a bubbling CO₂ outlet. The initial cell concentration was adjusted to 50 g of wet cells/L. Wet cell weight was determined by weighing a cell pellet that was harvested by centrifugation at 1,000 \times g for 5 min. The estimated dry cell weight was approximately 0.15-fold the wet cell weight. For determination of the concentrations of ethanol, glucose, glycerol, xylitol and xylose in the fermentation medium, the supernatant obtained by centrifugation at 14,000 \times *g* at 4°C for 5 min was applied to high performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC) (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a Shim-pack SPR-Pb column (7.8 mm × 250 mm; Shimadzu) and an RID-10A refractive index detector (Shimadzu). The HPLC system was operated at 80°C, with water at a flow rate of 0.6 ml/min as the mobile phase. Cell growth was monitored via optical density at 600 nm on a UVmini-1240 spectrophotomer (Shimadzu).

Enzyme assays

Cells from 50 ml samples of steady-state cultures in YPD medium were harvested by centrifugation at $5,000 \times g$ at 4°C for 5 min. The pellet was washed once with 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) containing 2 mM EDTA, and suspended in 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) containing 2 mM MgCl₂ and 2 mM DTT. The suspended cells were mixed with glass beads (0.5 mm diameter), disrupted by shaking at 2,500 rpm at 4°C for 5 min with a Multibeads shocker (Yasui Kikai Corporation, Osaka, Japan). The crude extract, collected after centrifugation at $30,000 \times g$ at 4°C for 30 min, was used for the enzyme assay. TAL activity was measured by monitoring NADH oxidation at 340 nm as described previously [43]. TKL activity was measured according to the method of Bruinenberg et al [44], except that 100 mM triethanolamine buffer (pH 7.8) was used as the buffer. The specific activities of the enzymes were expressed as micromoles of converted substrate per milligram of protein per minute (which is equivalent to units per milligram). Protein concentrations were determined by using a protein assay kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), with bovine serum albumin as the standard.

Extraction of intracellular metabolites

Samples for metabolome analysis were prepared as described previously [45,46]. Leakage-free quenching was performed according to Canelas et al. [46]. Briefly, 5 ml of broth was withdrawn and injected (< 1 s) into a tube containing 7 ml of pure methanol pre-cooled to -40°C, the mixture was quickly vortexed and placed back in the cryostat (-40°C). Extracellular medium was removed by centrifugation at 5,000 \times g at -20°C for 5 min. After decanting, 7.5 µl of 1 mM 1,4-piperazinediethanesulfonic acid (PIPES) and 7.5 µl of 100 mM adipic acid was added to the samples as internal standards. PIPES was used as an internal standard in CE-MS as described in a previous report [47]. For GC-MS, since the levels of measured metabolites were higher than that of metabolites measured by CE-MS, adipic acid was used as another internal standard. Metabolites were extracted by boiling ethanol method as described previously [45]. 75% (v/v) ethanol preheated in a water bath at 95°C was quickly poured over the cell pellet; the mixture was immediately vortexed, and the sample was placed in the water bath for 3 min. The extracts were evaporated under vacuum using a Freeze Dry System, FreeZone 2.5 Plus (Labconco, Kansas City, MO). Dried residues of samples were stored at -80°C until further use.

Metabolite analysis with GC-MS

Dried metabolites were derivatized at 30°C for 90 min with 50 μ l of 20 mg/ml methoxyamine hydrochloride in pyridine, and at 37°C for 30 min after the addition of 100 μ l of *N*-methyl-*N*-(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide

(GL Science, Tokyo, Japan) as described in a previous paper [48]. The derivatized sample $(1 \mu l)$ was injected via an Agilent 7683B autosampler into an Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) equipped with a 30 m × 0.25 mm i.d. fusedsilica capillary column coated with 0.25-µm CP-Sil 8 CB low bleed (Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA) and coupled to a Pegasus HT time of flight mass spectrometer (TOFMS) (Leco Corp., St Joseph, MI). The injector temperature was 230°C and the helium gas flow rate through the column was 1 ml/min. The column temperature was held at 80°C for 2 min, then raised by 15°C/min to 330°C, and held there for 10 min. The transfer line and the ion source temperature were set at 250°C and 200°C, respectively. The ion source was generated by a 70 eV electron beam; 20 scans per second were recorded in the mass range of 85 to 500 m/z. The acceleration voltage was turned on after a solvent delay of 200 s. Peak deconvolution identification and quantification were performed using the Pegasus ChromaTOF software package ver 4.21 (Leco). Commercially available standard compounds were derivatized and analyzed in parallel with the samples. The mass spectra and retention time obtained were used to identify the metabolites. Unique fragment ions were used for peak area calculation.

Metabolite analysis with CE-MS

Dried metabolites were dissolved in 15 µl of Milli-Q water before CE-MS analysis. All CE-MS experiments were performed using an Agilent CE capillary electrophoresis system, an Agilent G6220AA LC/MSD TOF system, and an Agilent 1200 series isocratic HPLC pump. For system control and data acquisition, Agilent Chem-Station software for CE and MassHunter software for the Agilent TOFMS were operated. CE separation was performed in a fused silica capillary (1 m \times 50 μ m i.d.) filled with 30 mM ammonium formate (pH 10.0) as electrolyte. Prior to the first use, each capillary was washed with running electrolyte for 20 min with application of 50 mbar pressure. Before injection in each analysis, the capillary was equilibrated for 2 min with 1 M formic acid, then for 4 min with preconditioning buffer (15 mM ammonium acetate, 75 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.5), 4 min with water, and 6 min with electrolyte, which was replenished every run using a buffer replenishment system equipped with the Agilent CE. Sample was injected with a pressure of 50 mbar for 10 or 30 s (approximately 10 or 30 nL). The CE polarity was such that the electrolyte vial (inlet) was at the anode, and the ESI probe (outlet) was at the cathode. The applied voltage to the CE capillary was set at 30 kV with 0.3 min of ramp time. Electrophoresis was performed for 50 min. The capillary temperature was maintained at 20°C and the sample tray was cooled

below 6°C. An HPLC pump equipped with 1:100 splitter was used to deliver 8 μ l/min of 50% (v/v) methanol/water containing reference masses of the standards purine ([M-H]⁻, *m/z* 119.03632) and hexakis(1H,1H,3H-tetrafluoroproxy)phosphazine ([M-H]⁻, *m/z* 966.000725) to the CE interface where it was used as a sheath fluid around the outside of the CE capillary to provide a stable electrical connection between the tip of the capillary and grounded electrospray needle. ESI-MS was conducted in the negative ion mode and the capillary voltage was set at 3.5 kV. The nebulizing gas, heated dry nitrogen (heater temperature 300°C), was switched off during the preconditioning step, and a pressure of 10 psi was applied 1 min after sample injection using the CE system's timetable.

Additional material

Additional file 1: Effects of acetic acid on the accumulation level of intracellular metabolites in xylose-fermenting *S. cerevisiae* MN8140X after fermentation for 4 h, 6 h, and 24 h. Fermentation was carried out with 40 g/L xylose as a carbon source, and 0 mM (gray bars), 30 mM (white bars) or 60 mM (black bars) acetic acid at 30°C. The values are the averages of three independent experiments, ± SEM.

Abbreviations

BPGA: 1,3-*bis*phosphoglycerate; CE-MS: capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry; DHAP: dihydroxyacetonephosphate; E4P: erythrose-4phosphate; FBP: fructose-1,6-*bis*phosphate; F6P: fructose-6-phosphate; GAP: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate; GC-MS: gas chromatography-mass spectrometry; Glycerol3P: glycerol-3-phosphate; GND: 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase; G6P: glucose-6-phosphate; GND: 6-phosphoglycerate; PIPES: 1,4-piperazinediethanesulfonic acid; PPP: pentose phosphate pathway; RKI: ribose-5-phosphate isomerase; R5P: ribose-5-phosphate; RPE: ribulose-5phosphate 4-epimerase; Ru5P: ribulose-5-phosphate; SOL: 6phosphogluconolactonase; S7P: sedoheptulose-7-phosphate; TAL: transaldolase; TDH: glyceraldehydes-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; TKL: transketolase; X5P: xylulose-5-phosphate; ZWF: glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ms. Yoshimi Hori, Ms. Hiroko Kato and Ms. Emiko Yamazaki for technical assistance. We also thank Prof. Fukusaki and Prof. Bamba for discussion and critical reading of the manuscript. This work has been supported through project P07015 by the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO), under the sponsorship of the Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry (METI) of Japan. This work was also supported partly by Special Coordination Funds for Promoting Science and Technology, Creation of Innovative Centers for Advanced Interdisciplinary Research Areas (Innovative Bioproduction Kobe), MEXT, Japan.

Author details

¹Organization of Advanced Science and Technology, Kobe University, 1-1 Rokkodai, Nada, Kobe, 657-8501, Japan. ²Department of Chemical Science and Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Kobe University, 1-1 Rokkodai, Nada, Kobe 657-8501, Japan.

Authors' contributions

TH designed research and wrote the manuscript. TS performed yeast transformation, fermentation and metabolite analysis. RY performed yeast transformation. KY performed enzyme assay and metabolite analysis. JI

performed plasmid construction and commented on the manuscript. AK commented and supervised on the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 16 September 2010 Accepted: 10 January 2011 Published: 10 January 2011

References

- Hahn-Hägerdal B, Galbe M, Gorwa-Grauslund MF, Lidén G, Zacchi G: Bioethanol - the fuel of tomorrow from the residues of today. *Trends Biotechnol* 2006, 24:549-556.
- Ragauskas AJ, Williams CK, Davison BH, Britovsek G, Cairney J, Eckert CA, Frederick WJ Jr, Hallett JP, Leak DJ, Liotta CL, Mielenz JR, Murphy R, Templer R, Tschaplinski T: The path forward for biofuels and biomaterials. *Science* 2006, 311:484-489.
- Olsson L, Hahn-Hägerdal B: Fermentative performance of bacteria and yeasts in lignocellulose hydrolysates. Process Biochem 1993, 28:249-257.
- Nevoigt E: Progress in metabolic engineering of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2008, 72:379-412.
- Chu BCH, Lee H: Genetic improvement of Saccharomyces cerevisiae for xylose fermentation. Biotechnol Adv 2007, 25:425-441.
- Eliasson A, Christensson C, Wahlbom CF, Hahn-Hägerdal B: Anaerobic xylose fermentation by recombinant Saccharomyces cerevisiae carrying XYL1, XYL2, and XKS1 in mineral medium chemostat cultures. Appl Environ Microbiol 2000, 66:3381-3386.
- Jeffries TW: Engineering yeasts for xylose metabolism. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2006, 17:320-326.
- van Maris AJA, Winkler AA, Kuyper M, de Laat WTAM, van Dijken JP, Pronk JT: Development of efficient xylose fermentation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: xylose isomerization as a key component. Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol 2007, 108:179-204.
- Matsushika A, Inoue H, Kodaki T, Sawayama S: Ethanol production from xylose in engineered Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains: current state and perspectives. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2009, 84:37-53.
- Almeida JRM, Modig T, Petersson A, Hahn-Hägerdal B, Lidén G, Gorwa-Grauslund MF: Increased tolerance and conversion of inhibitors in lignocellulosic hydrolysates by Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Chem Technol Biotechnol 2007, 82:340-349.
- van Maris AJA, Abbott DA, Bellissimi E, van den Brink J, Kuyper M, Luttik MAH, Wisselink HW, Scheffers WA, van Dijken JP, Pronk JT: Alcoholic fermentation of carbon sources in biomass hydrolysates by Saccharomyces cerevisiae: current status. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 2006, 90:391-418.
- Palmqvist E, Hahn-Hägerdal B: Fermentation of lignocellulosic hydrolysates. II: inhibitors and mechamisms of inhibition. *Bioresouce Technol* 2000, 74:25-33.
- García-Aparicio MP, Ballesteros I, Gonzárez A, Oliva JM, Ballesteros M, Negro MJ: Effect of inhibitors released during steam-explosion pretreatment of barley straw on enzymatic hydrolysis. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 2006, 129:278-288.
- Martin C, Alriksson B, Sjöde A, Nilvebrant N-O, Jönsson LJ: Dilute sulfuric acid pretreatment of agricultural and agro-industrial residues for ethanol production. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol* 2007, 137:339-352.
- Helle SS, Murray A, Lam J, Cameron DR, Duff SJB: Xylose fermentation by genetically modified Saccharomyces cerevisiae 259ST in spent sulfite lilquor. Bioresouce Technol 2004, 92:163-171.
- Russel JB: Another explanation for the toxicity of fermentation acids at low pH: anion accumulation versus uncoupling. J Appl Bacteriol 1992, 73:363-370.
- Gorsich SW, Dien BS, Nichols NN, Slininger PJ, Liu ZL, Skory CD: Tolerance to furfural-induced stress is associated with pentose phosphate pathway genes ZWF1, GND1, RPE1, and TKL1 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2006, 71:339-349.
- Laadan B, Almeida JRM, Rådström P, Hahn-Hägerdal B, Gorwa-Grauslund M: Identification of an NADH-dependent 5-hydroxymethylfurfural-reducing alcohol dehydrogenase in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast* 2008, 25:191-198.
- Larsson S, Nilvebrant NO, Jönsson LJ: Effect of overexpression of Saccharomyces cerevisiae Pad1p on the resistance to phenylacrylic acids

and lignocellulose hydrolysates under aerobic and oxygen-limited conditions. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2001, **57**:167-174.

- Larsson S, Cassland P, Jönsson LJ: Development of a Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain with enhanced resistance to phenolic fermentation inhibitors in lignocellulose hydrolysates by heterologous expression of laccase. Appl Environ Microbiol 2001, 67:1163-1170.
- Petersson A, Almeida JR, Modig T, Karhumaa K, Hahn-Hägerdal B, Gorwa-Grauslund MF, Lidén G: A 5-hydroxymethyl furfural reducing enzyme encoded by the Saccharomyces cerevisiae ADH6 gene conveys HMF tolerance. Yeast 2006, 23:455-464.
- Oldiges M, Lütz S, Pflug S, Schroer K, Stein N, Wiendahl C: Metabolomics: current state and evolving methodologies and tools. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2007, 76:495-511.
- 23. Baran R, Reindl W, Northern TR: Mass spectrometry based metabolomics and enzymatic assays for functional genomics. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2009, 12:547-552.
- Garcia DE, Baidoo EE, Benke PI, Pingitore F, Tang YJ, Villa S, Keasling JD: Separation and mass spectrometry in microbial metabolomics. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2008, 11:233-239.
- Yoshida S, Imoto J, Minato T, Oouchi R, Sugihara M, Imai T, Ishiguro T, Mizutani S, Tomita M, Soga T, Yoshimoto H: Development of bottomfermenting Saccharomyces strains that produce high SO₂ levels, using integrated metabolome and transcriptome analysis. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008, 74:2787-2796.
- Karhumaa K, Fromanger R, Hahn-Hägerdal B, Gorwa-Grauslund M-F: High activity of xylose reductase and xylitol dehydronegase improves xylose fermentation by recombinant *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2007, 73:1039-1046.
- 27. Larsson S, Palmqvist E, Hahn-Hägerdal B, Tengborg C, Stenberg K, Zacchi G, Nilvebrant N-O: **The generation of fermentation inhibitors during dilute** acid hydrolysis of softwood. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 1999, **24**:151-159.
- Bellissimi E, van Dijken JP, Pronk JT, van Maris AJA: Effects of acetic acid on the kinetics of xylose fermentation by an engineered, xyloseisomerase-based Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain. FEMS Yeast Res 2009, 9:358-364.
- Helle S, Cameron D, Lam J, White B, Duff S: Effect of inhibitory compounds found in biomass hydrolysates on growth and xylose fermentation by a genetically engineered strain of *S. cerevisiae*. *Enzyme Microb Tech* 2003, 33:786-792.
- Sonderegger M, Jeppsson M, Hahn-Hägerdal B, Sauer U: Molecular basis for anaerobic growth of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on xylose, investigated by global gene expression and metabolic flux analysis. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2004, 70:2307-2317.
- Jin Y-S, Alper H, Yang Y-T, Stephanopoulos G: Improvement of xylose uptake and ethanol production in recombinant Saccharomyces cerevisiae through an inverse metabolic engineering. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005, 71:8249-8256.
- Pitkänen J-P, Rintala E, Aristidou A, Ruohonen L, Penttilä M: Xylose chemostat isolates of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* show altered metabolite and enzyme levels compared with xylose, glucose, and ethanol metabolism of the original strain. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2005, 67:827-837.
- Toivari MH, Salusjärvi L, Ruohonen L, Penttilä M: Endogenous xylose pathway in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Appl Environ Microbiol 2004, 70:3681-3686.
- Wahlbom CF, Cordero Otero RR, van Zyl WH, Hahn-Hägerdal B, Jönsson LJ: Molecular analysis of a Saccharomyces cerevisiae mutant with improved ability to utilize xylose shows enhanced expression of proteins involved in transport, initial xylose metabolism and the pentose phosphate pathway. Appl Environ Microbiol 2003, 69:740-746.
- Aarnio TH, Suihko ML, Kauppinen VS: Isolation of acetic acid-tolerant Baker's-yeast variants in a turbidostat. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 1991, 27:55-63.
- Metzger MH, Hollenberg CP: Isolation and characterization of the Pichia stipitis transkatolase gene and expression in a xylose-utilising Saccharomyces cerevisiae transformant. Appl Microbial Biotechnol 1994, 42(2-3):319-325.
- Walfridsson M, Hallborn J, Penttilä M, Keränen S, Hahn-Hägerdal B: Xylosemetabolizing Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains overexpressing the TKL1 and TAL1 genes encoding the pentose phosphate pathway enzymes transketolase and transaldolase. Appl Environ Microbiol 1995, 61:4184-4190.

- Zhao XQ, Bai FW: Mechanism of yeast stress tolerance and its manipulation for efficient fuel ethanol production. J Biotechnol 2009, 144:23-30.
- Liu ZL, Ma M, Song M: Evolutionarily engineered ethanologenic yeast detoxifies lignocellulosic biomass conversion inhibitors by reprogrammed pathways. *Mol Genet Genomics* 2009, 282:233-244.
- Sambrook J, Frutsch EF, Maniatis T: Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor, NY, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 2 1989.
- Ishii J, Izawa K, Matsumura S, Wakamura K, Tanino T, Tanaka T, Ogino C, Fukuda H, Kondo A: A simple and immediate method for simultaneously evaluating expression level and plasmid maintenance in yeast. *J Biochem* 2009, 145:701-708.
- Katahira S, Ito M, Takema H, Fujita Y, Tanino T, Tanaka T, Fukuda H, Kondo A: Improvement of ethanol productivity during xylose and glucose co-fermentation by xylose-assimilating S. cerevisiae via expression of glucose transporter Sut1. Enzyme Microb Technol 2008, 43:115-119.
- Bergmeyer HU, (ed): Methods of Enzymatic Analysis. Academic Press, New York; 1974.
- Bruinenberg PM, van Dijken JP, Scheffers WA: An enzymatic analysis of NADPH production and consumption in *Candida utilis*. J Gen Microbiol 1983, 129:965-971.
- Canelas AB, ten Pierick A, Ras C, Seifar RM, van Dam JC, van Gulik WM, Heijnen JJ: Quantitative evaluation of intracellular metabolite extraction technique for yeast metabolomics. *Anal Chem* 2009, 81:7379-7389.
- Canelas AB, Ras C, ten Pierick A, van Dam JC, Heijnen JJ, van Gulik WM: Leakage-free rapid quenching technique for yeast metabolomics. *Metabolomics* 2008, 4:226-239.
- Soga T, Ueno Y, Naraoka H, Matsuda K, Tomita M, Nishioka T: Pressureassisted capillary electrophoresis electrospray ionization mass spectrometry for analysis of multivalent anions. *Anal Chem* 2002, 74:6224-6229.
- Pongsuwan W, Fukusaki E, Bamba T, Yonetani T, Yamahara T, Kobayashi A: Prediction of Japanese green tea ranking by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry-based hydrophilic metabolite fingerprinting. J Agric Food Chem 2007, 55:231-236.
- Tajima M, Nogi Y, Fukasawa T: Primary structure of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae GAL7 gene. Yeast 1985, 1:67-77.
- Yamada R, Tanaka T, Ogino C, Fukuda H, Kondo A: Novel strategy for yeast construction using δ-integration and cell fusion to efficiently produce ethanol from raw starch. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2010, 85:1491-1498.

doi:10.1186/1475-2859-10-2

Cite this article as: Hasunuma *et al.*: **Metabolic pathway engineering** based on metabolomics confers acetic and formic acid tolerance to a recombinant xylose-fermenting strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Microbial Cell Factories* 2011 **10**:2.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

Page 13 of 13

BioMed Central